



EXECUTIVE BOARD

Meeting to be held in Civic Hall, Leeds on
Monday, 14th January, 2019 at 1.00 pm

Councillors

J Blake (Chair)
R Charlwood
D Coupar
J Lewis
R Lewis
L Mulherin
J Pryor
M Rafique

MEMBERSHIP

A Carter

S Golton

CONFIDENTIAL AND EXEMPT ITEMS

The reason for confidentiality or exemption is stated on the agenda and on each of the reports in terms of Access to Information Procedure Rules 9.2 or 10.4(1) to (7). The number or numbers stated in the agenda and reports correspond to the reasons for exemption / confidentiality below:

9.0 Confidential information – requirement to exclude public access

9.1 The public must be excluded from meetings whenever it is likely in view of the nature of the business to be transacted or the nature of the proceedings that confidential information would be disclosed. Likewise, public access to reports, background papers, and minutes will also be excluded.

9.2 Confidential information means

- (a) information given to the Council by a Government Department on terms which forbid its public disclosure or
- (b) information the disclosure of which to the public is prohibited by or under another Act or by Court Order. Generally personal information which identifies an individual, must not be disclosed under the data protection and human rights rules.

10.0 Exempt information – discretion to exclude public access

10.1 The public may be excluded from meetings whenever it is likely in view of the nature of the business to be transacted or the nature of the proceedings that exempt information would be disclosed provided:

- (a) the meeting resolves so to exclude the public, and that resolution identifies the proceedings or part of the proceedings to which it applies, and
- (b) that resolution states by reference to the descriptions in Schedule 12A to the Local Government Act 1972 (paragraph 10.4 below) the description of the exempt information giving rise to the exclusion of the public.
- (c) that resolution states, by reference to reasons given in a relevant report or otherwise, in all the circumstances of the case, the public interest in maintaining the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosing the information.

10.2 In these circumstances, public access to reports, background papers and minutes will also be excluded.

10.3 Where the meeting will determine any person's civil rights or obligations, or adversely affect their possessions, Article 6 of the Human Rights Act 1998 establishes a presumption that the meeting will be held in public unless a private hearing is necessary for one of the reasons specified in Article 6.

10.4 Exempt information means information falling within the following categories (subject to any condition):

- 1 Information relating to any individual
- 2 Information which is likely to reveal the identity of an individual.
- 3 Information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person (including the authority holding that information).
- 4 Information relating to any consultations or negotiations, or contemplated consultations or negotiations, in connection with any labour relations matter arising between the authority or a Minister of the Crown and employees of, or officer-holders under the authority.
- 5 Information in respect of which a claim to legal professional privilege could be maintained in legal proceedings.
- 6 Information which reveals that the authority proposes –
 - (a) to give under any enactment a notice under or by virtue of which requirements are imposed on a person; or
 - (b) to make an order or direction under any enactment
- 7 Information relating to any action taken or to be taken in connection with the prevention, investigation or prosecution of crime

A G E N D A

| Item No K=Key Decision | Ward | Item Not Open | | Page No |
|---------------------------|------|---------------|--|---------|
| 1 | | | <p>APPEALS AGAINST REFUSAL OF INSPECTION OF DOCUMENTS</p> <p>To consider any appeals in accordance with Procedure Rule 15.2 of the Access to Information Procedure Rules (in the event of an Appeal the press and public will be excluded)</p> <p>(*In accordance with Procedure Rule 15.2, written notice of an appeal must be received by the Head of Governance Services at least 24 hours before the meeting)</p> | |
| 2 | | | <p>EXEMPT INFORMATION - POSSIBLE EXCLUSION OF THE PRESS AND PUBLIC</p> <p>1 To highlight reports or appendices which officers have identified as containing exempt information within the meaning of Section 100I of the Local Government Act 1972, and where officers consider that the public interest in maintaining the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosing the information, for the reasons outlined in the report.</p> <p>2 To consider whether or not to accept the officers recommendation in respect of the above information.</p> <p>3 If the recommendation is accepted, to formally pass the following resolution:-</p> <p>RESOLVED – That, in accordance with Regulation 4 of the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Meetings and Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2012, the public be excluded from the meeting during consideration of those parts of the agenda designated as exempt on the grounds that it is likely, in view of the nature of the business to be transacted or the nature of the proceedings, that if members of the press and public were present there would be disclosure to them of exempt information.</p> | |

| Item No K=Key Decision | Ward | Item Not Open | | Page No |
|------------------------------|------|---------------|---|---------|
| 3 | | | <p>LATE ITEMS</p> <p>To identify items which have been admitted to the agenda by the Chair for consideration</p> <p>(The special circumstances shall be specified in the minutes)</p> | |
| 4 | | | <p>DECLARATION OF DISCLOSABLE PECUNIARY INTERESTS</p> <p>To disclose or draw attention to any disclosable pecuniary interests for the purposes of Section 31 of the Localism Act 2011 and paragraphs 13-16 of the Members' Code of Conduct.</p> | |
| 5 | | | <p>SITE ALLOCATIONS PLAN UPDATE: MAIN MODIFICATIONS CONSULTATION</p> <p>To consider the report of the Director of City Development which seeks approval to a 6 week consultation period in respect of Inspectors' recommended potential Main Modifications to the Site Allocations Plan.</p> <p><i>(Cover Report and Appendices 1 & 2 attached. Appendix 3 to follow)</i></p> | 1 - 96 |

Third Party Recording

Recording of this meeting is allowed to enable those not present to see or hear the proceedings either as they take place (or later) and to enable the reporting of those proceedings. A copy of the recording protocol is available from the contacts named on the front of this agenda.

Use of Recordings by Third Parties– code of practice

- a) Any published recording should be accompanied by a statement of when and where the recording was made, the context of the discussion that took place, and a clear identification of the main speakers and their role or title.
- b) Those making recordings must not edit the recording in a way that could lead to misinterpretation or misrepresentation of the proceedings or comments made by attendees. In particular there should be no internal editing of published extracts; recordings may start at any point and end at any point but the material between those points must be complete.

Webcasting

Please note – the publically accessible parts of this meeting will be filmed for live or subsequent broadcast via the City Council's website. At the start of the meeting, the Chair will confirm if all or part of the meeting is to be filmed.

Report authors: Martin Elliot &
(0113 3787649) David Feeney
(0113 3787660)



Report of the Director of City Development

Report to Executive Board

Date: 14th January 2019

Subject: Site Allocations Plan Update – Main Modifications Consultation

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| Are specific electoral Wards affected? If relevant, name(s) of Ward(s): All | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| Are there implications for equality and diversity and cohesion and integration? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| Is the decision eligible for Call-In? | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |
| Does the report contain confidential or exempt information? If relevant, Access to Information Procedure Rule number: Appendix number: | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |

Summary of main issues

1. Leeds' Site Allocations Plan (the SAP) was submitted to the Secretary of State for independent examination on the 5th May 2017 (as agreed by Full Council 29th March 2017). Planning Inspectors Claire Sherratt DIP URP MRTPI and Louise Gibbons BA Hons MRTPI, were appointed to undertake the examination, with the public hearing sessions held at stage 1 during October 2017 and stage 2 hearings between during July and August 2018.
2. The Council formally requested the Inspectors to recommend any modifications (Main Modifications) to the SAP, that they considered are required to satisfy legal compliance and the test of soundness. The Inspectors have now provided a list of potential Main Modifications (MMs) which they consider are potentially required to make the SAP sound and which need to be consulted on.
3. The final conclusions on soundness and legal compliance of the SAP will be provided in a report which the Inspectors' will produce after consultation on the potential MMs has been completed. In reaching their conclusions, they will take into account any representations made in response to the consultation. Consequently the potential MMs which may be necessary to achieve a sound plan could change following the consultation.

4. The summary position is that, subject to some amendment, the Inspectors consider the SAP to be sound. The Inspectors are not seeking to amend the City Council's general approach to planning for housing, employment, retail or green space. Instead making changes, which address the fact that the Council is planning for a reduced housing target in the future and which in turn reduce the number of allocations from the Green Belt. They have accepted the City Council's proposed approach to Plan up to 2023 and ensure that there is sufficient housing land available to meet needs now subject to review of the SAP by 2023. This is positive as it maintains a significant amount of housing land in the SAP (some 59,718 homes) with delivery up to 2023 of 44,561 homes. Of this 3,970 homes are released on Green Belt land (only 6.5% of the total housing in the Plan) recognising the need to provide homes in areas of the District without previously developed land opportunities.
5. The progression of the SAP to this very advanced stage is a significant achievement, for a District the size and complexity of Leeds, especially when many local authorities have yet to progress their Plans, and considering a number of external factors, beyond the City Council's control, which have delayed the process. The preparation of the SAP has been a major undertaking not only for services across the Council and its Members but also external partners, agencies, investors, communities, and the many representors who have participated throughout the process.
6. Set within the wider context of national planning guidance and the Best Council Plan the SAP has a major role to play in facilitating inclusive growth, new jobs, homes (including affordable homes and the needs of older people) the protection and enhancement of the environment (including the general extent of Green Belt, green space and biodiversity) and critically the co-ordination and delivery of infrastructure (including schools). This integrated and spatial approach recognises the importance of place and the varied character and distinctiveness of communities across Leeds and provides a positive and proactive framework to deliver the sustainable development the District needs but not at any cost.
7. Subject to Executive Board's approval, it is proposed to consult on the Inspectors' potential MMs for a 6 week period. The consultation is only about potential MMs set out in the schedule and all representations made upon the MMs will be taken into account by the inspector, following which final conclusions will be made and included in the Inspectors' report. This is not therefore an opportunity to revisit and to comment on matters which are not subject to the MMs and are now settled in principle by the Inspectors in determining the MMs.
8. The receipt of the potential MMs marks a significant milestone in the preparation of the SAP in that it is now considered to be at an advanced stage. Now potential MMs have been recommended by the Inspectors, the Council has a clear indication as to which policies (and sites) are considered sound as those matters which are not subject to MMs are considered to be sound and those matters subject to MMs are considered to be sound, as modified, subject to any representations received pursuant to the consultation. Within this context it can be afforded considerable weight in the determination of planning applications as set out the Secretary of State in paragraph 48 of the NPPF.

Recommendation

9. Executive Board is asked to agree to the Schedule of Inspectors' Modifications attached as **Appendix 2** and the Sustainability Appraisal of the Main Modifications as **Appendix 3** (supplementary to follow) being subject to a 6 week period of public consultation.

1 Purpose of this Report

- 1.1 The purpose of this report is to seek Executive Board approval to a 6 week consultation period in respect of Inspectors' recommended potential MMs to the SAP. This consultation would commence on 21st January and conclude on 4th March 2019 and responses would be returned direct to the Programme Officer to be considered directly by the SAP Inspectors.

2 Background Information

- 2.1 At its meeting on 29th March 2017 Full Council resolved the submission of the SAP to the Secretary of State for independent examination.
- 2.2 The SAP was submitted to the Secretary of State on 5th May 2017 and was subject to an Examination in Public by Planning Inspectors Claire Sherratt DIP URP MRTPI and Louise Gibbons BA Hons MRTPI. The hearing sessions for the Examination took place in October 2017 and July/August 2018 (16 separate hearing sessions).
- 2.3 Following the hearing sessions on 3rd August 2018, the Inspectors published Post Hearing Notes and also requested further technical information from the Council. This further work for the Council has entailed responding to the Inspectors' Actions arising from the hearings (available on the Examination Website¹ (EX75)), comprising inter alia: further Sustainability Appraisal and update of the planning status of identified sites, further justification for the extension of Green Belt in the Outer North East, statements of common ground and an update on HS2. In addition the Council has also completed further information on Sustainability Appraisal, together with a Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA), Screening and Appropriate Assessment, in response to Inspectors' questions. The City Council's HRA response to the Inspectors has been agreed with Natural England.
- 2.4 The response to the Inspectors' Actions (EX75) also includes reference to where the Inspectors Actions have been addressed through proposed MMs.
- 2.5 For clarity, following the receipt of Post Hearing Notes, the Inspectors have clarified their desire for the MMs to be made to the Plan as originally submitted (May 2017), rather than the 'Revised Submission Plan', as submitted on the 23rd March 2018.
- 2.6 Members will be aware that the Council's approach to the Local Plan involves a number of interlinked documents; with the Core Strategy adopted in 2014 providing strategic guidance to other subsidiary plans such as the Aire Valley Leeds Area Action Plan (adopted 2017) and the SAP. Separately, on 11th July 2018, the City Council resolved to submit the Core Strategy Selective Review (CSSR) to the Secretary of State for independent examination and hearing sessions will be held in February 2019. One of the SAP Inspectors, Claire Sherratt DIP URP MRTPI, has been appointed to examine that document. Given that the two plans are submitted separately there can be no conflation

¹ <http://www.hwa.uk.com/projects/leeds-site-allocations-plan-examination/>

of the two plan making processes in law.

- 2.7 However, Development Plan Panel noted at its meeting on 16th October 2018 the initial views of the SAP Inspectors, including their endorsement in principle of the Council's desire to reduce the level of Green Belt release (by 34 sites) in light of a downward trajectory of housing needs in the CSSR. This is pragmatic and welcomed although the Inspectors through MMs have proposed two additional sites to make 36 sites in total to be removed from the Green Belt as a result of the lower housing trajectory.
- 2.8 As considered upon submission of the SAP, the Council has previously agreed, "to grant authority to the independent inspector appointed to hold the Public Examination, to make modifications to the Submission Draft Plan, pursuant to Section 20 (7C) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 as amended." The MMs have therefore been prepared within this context.
- 2.9 At the request of the Inspectors, the Council has previously prepared a draft schedule setting out the proposed MMs it considers necessary in response to points raised by representors and the Inspectors during the hearing sessions and further actions required by the Inspectors in post hearing guidance notes. Although the Inspectors' final conclusions on soundness and legal compliance of the SAP are to be provided in their report, that schedule has now been checked, updated and revised by the Inspectors. The Inspectors have now provided a further post-hearing note to the Council (**Appendix 1**) alongside a consolidated list of MMs presented at **Appendix 2**. These MMs are presented as a schedule of potential Main Modifications, which when read alongside the SAP Submission Draft 2017, are, subject to any consultation responses, required to make the SAP legally compliant and/or sound.
- 2.10 The MMs are being made to the Leeds Submission Draft Site Allocations Plan, May 2017. To view this document please use links **CD1/1a** to **CD1/1l** on page 4 of the Core Documents list below:

<https://www.leeds.gov.uk/SiteAllocationMaps/Core%20Document%20List.pdf>

3 Main Issues

- 3.1 The Inspectors' potential MMs are presented at **Appendix 2** and in summary their overall scope is as follows:
- For both housing and employment land identified sites (i.e. those with planning permission or expired permission) to include within an annex of the Plan to illustrate the sites that contribute to supply and guide the calculations of residual shortfall to be met by allocations;
 - Signposting to relevant saved UDP sites;
 - A new policy requiring that the Plan be reviewed before 2023 so as to ensure that there is consideration given by the Council to the new housing requirements of the CSSR in due course;

- Deletion of phasing, so that all sites in the SAP can come forward without delay to meet the housing needs in Leeds, including for affordable housing and the needs of older persons;
- A new policy requiring that the Plan be reviewed before 2024 so as to plan for the needs of Gypsies and Travellers in the latter part of the plan period;
- Deletion of 36 Green Belt releases that are not needed as part of planning for housing until after 2023 against existing housing requirements in the Adopted Core Strategy (totalling 8,500 homes), including two large Green Belt releases: MX2-39 land at Parlington, for 792 homes and HG1-124 land at Stourton Grange, Garforth, for 1,090 homes;
- Deletion of 10 Green Belt safeguarded site releases (amounting to the equivalent of 1,380 homes) proposing that these sites remain as Green Belt;
- Deletion of a standalone policy on an Airport Hub (EG3) and instead allocation of the same site as a general employment allocation (EG2-24);
- Deletion of sites that are no longer considered to be suitable or available;
- Deletion of the proposal to extend the Green Belt into the North East of the District and replace the existing Rural Land designation, which now remains saved in the UDP;
- A range of technical amendments to site requirements following hearing sessions and consultation responses; and
- Changes to site capacities.

3.2 It is noted, for clarity, that the majority of Green Belt site deletions proposed as Main Modifications were those that were considered by the Council as being unnecessary to support housing delivery up to 2023. To that end, these are in line with the 34 sites, which had previously been designated as Broad Locations in the Revised Submission Plan endorsed by Executive Board on 13th December 2017. The Inspectors' have not requested that the Council identify alternative sites to account for the deletion of the two larger sites - MX2-39 land at Parlington and HG2-124 land at Stourton Grange - on the basis that overall there remains a small surplus of around 800 dwellings to 2023 which offers some flexibility. In respect of sites HG2-124 and MX2-39, in their MMs, the Inspectors have made no finding or otherwise as to the acceptability of these sites and the proposed MMs relate solely to the delivery of the housing numbers to 2023.

3.3 The Inspectors confirm that the MMs avoid the release of any unnecessary Green Belt in advance of the CSSR.

3.4 Two further allocated sites are proposed for deletion, as follows:

- Water Lane Railway Triangle, City Centre HMCA (MX2-30) on the basis that it is no longer suitable due to the Flood Alleviation Scheme compromising its access
- Land at Fleet Lane/Esholt Lane, Oulton, Outer South HMCA (HG2-179) on the basis that the most recent construction plans for HS2 would render the site unavailable during the plan period. This site is also within Green Belt.

3.5 The MMs also proposed deletion of 13 identified housing sites (i.e. those

already with planning permission or saved UDP allocations) on the basis that these are, through the passage of time no longer available or suitable sites. These are listed in Appendix 2 and highlighted in Section 3 below.

- 3.6 MMs highlight that only a few minor amendments are required to be made to the suite of allocated green space sites including deletion of a few sites.
- 3.7 MMs highlight no change to the Council’s approach on retail.
- 3.8 Following the receipt of the schedule and further technical information, the Inspectors have now recommended and issued a composite set of potential MMs. These MMs are attached as Appendix 2 in full. These include the modifications outlined in para. 3.1 to 3.6 above. For each Housing Market Characteristic Area (HMCA) the headline modifications are set out below:

Aireborough

- 3.9 Detailed modifications are set out in **Appendix 2**, the following are headline changes.
- 3.10 Modifications are proposed which delete the following housing releases from the Green Belt on the basis that these are not needed to meet housing needs up to 2023.

| Reference | Address | Capacity |
|-----------|--|----------|
| HG2-3 | Shaw Lane, Guiseley and Banksfield Mount, Yeadon | 234 |
| HG2-5 | Land at Coach Road, Guiseley | 83 |
| HG2-10 | Gill Lane, Yeadon | 155 |
| HG2-12 | Woodlands Drive, Rawdon | 25 |
| | TOTAL | 497 |

- 3.11 Modifications are proposed which delete the following safeguarded site releases from the Green Belt, which means that there are now no safeguarded land sites within this HMCA.

| Reference | Address | Capacity |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|----------|
| HG3-1 | Ings Lane, Guiseley | 114 |
| HG3-2 | Land to west of Knott Lane, Guiseley | 81 |
| HG3-3 | Land at Rawdon | 35 |
| HG3-4 | Layton Wood, Rawdon | 130 |
| | TOTAL | 360 |

- 3.12 Modifications are proposed which delete the Policy EG3 “Leeds Bradford Airport – Employment Hub” and instead allocate the land under General Employment Policy EG2:24 with associated site requirements.

City Centre

- 3.13 Detailed modifications are set out in **Appendix 2**, the following are headline changes.
- 3.14 Modifications are proposed which delete the following mixed use housing

allocation and identified site on the basis that they are no longer suitable or available.

| Reference | Address | Capacity |
|-----------|-----------------------------|----------|
| MX1-9 | 30 Sovereign Street | 5 |
| MX2-30 | Water Lane Railway Triangle | 171 |
| | TOTAL | 176 |

East

- 3.15 Detailed modifications are set out in **Appendix 2**. No sites are proposed for deletion.

Inner

- 3.16 Detailed modifications are set out in **Appendix 2**, the following are headline changes.

- 3.17 Modifications are proposed which delete the following housing allocation and identified site on the basis that they are no longer suitable or available.

| Reference | Address | Capacity |
|-----------|--------------------------|----------|
| HG1-259 | 236 Tong Road | 9 |
| HG2-201 | York Road, Richmond Hill | 121 |
| | TOTAL | 130 |

- 3.18 Modifications delete two greenspace sites at (G1076) Phil May Court, which has planning permission for other uses and (G1696) Grafton School because it is currently in another use.

North

- 3.19 Detailed modifications are set out in **Appendix 2**, the following are headline changes.

- 3.20 Modifications are proposed which delete two HG2 housing releases from the Green Belt on the basis that these are not needed to meet housing needs up to 2023. There are also three proposed deletions of HG1 identified sites as these are no longer available for housing.

| Reference | Address | Capacity |
|-----------|--|----------|
| HG1-68 | Silk Mill Drive | 20 |
| HG1-99 | Low Fold Garage, New Road Side, Horsforth | 5 |
| HG1-119 | Belmont House, Wood Lane | 6 |
| HG2-41 | South of A65 from Horsforth and Rawdon roundabout to crematorium | 777 |
| HG2-49 | Off Weetwood Avenue, Headingley | 30 |
| | TOTAL | 838 |

- 3.21 Modifications delete one greenspace site at (G111) Cragg Hill Farm because it has planning permission for another use and designate new green space at Shire View, Headingley as G1718.

Outer North East

- 3.22 Detailed modifications are set out in **Appendix 2**, the following are headline changes.
- 3.23 Modifications are proposed which delete 2 HG2 housing releases and 1 mixed use release from the Green Belt on the basis that these are not needed to meet housing needs up to 2023. There is also a proposed deletion of one HG1 identified site as this is no longer available for housing.

| Reference | Address | Capacity |
|-----------|-----------------------------|----------|
| HG1-36 | Moor End (7-14), Boston Spa | 9 |
| HG2-24 | Keswick Lane, Bardsey | 10 |
| HG2-25 | Farfield House, Bramham | 14 |
| MX2-39 | Land at Parlington | 792 |
| | TOTAL | 825 |

- 3.24 Modifications are proposed which amend the status of two former safeguarded sites as follows so as to reflect their contribution towards housing numbers, following permission being allowed on appeal.

| Reference | Address | Original Capacity | Amendment |
|-----------|------------------------|-------------------|--|
| HG3-8 | Leeds Road, Collingham | 100 | Now listed as HG1-519 under policy HG1, capacity 150 |
| HG3-10 | Grove Road, Boston Spa | 103 | Now listed as HG1-520 under policy HG1, capacity 88 |

Outer North West

- 3.25 Detailed modifications are set out in **Appendix 2**, the following are headline changes.
- 3.26 Modifications are proposed which delete 2 HG2 housing releases from the Green Belt on the basis that these are not needed to meet housing needs up to 2023.

| Reference | Address | Capacity |
|-----------|--|----------|
| HG2-15 | Green Acres and Equestrian Centre, Moor Road, Bramhope | 42 |
| HG2-16 | Creskeld Lane, Bramhope | 23 |
| | TOTAL | 65 |

- 3.27 Modifications are also proposed to reduce the safeguarded site HG3-5, Old Pool Bank, Pool in Wharfedale, from 23.1 ha to 11 ha (indicative capacity from 540 to 260 homes). This is on the basis that there is currently no exceptional circumstances to release Green Belt land to provide for safeguarded land beyond the plan period, given the Council are only proposing to release sites which help deliver housing up to 2023 upon adoption of the SAP.

Outer South

- 3.28 Detailed modifications are set out in **Appendix 2**, the following are headline changes.
- 3.29 Modifications are proposed which delete 4 HG2 housing releases from the Green Belt on the basis that these are not needed to meet housing needs up to 2023 and 1 HG2 site (HG2-179) on the basis that it is not available as a result of HS2 construction works. There is also a proposed deletion of one HG1 identified site as this is no longer available for housing.

| Reference | Address | Capacity |
|-----------|-----------------------------------|----------|
| HG1-404 | Marsh Street, Rothwell | 6 |
| HG2-173 | Haighside, Rothwell | 578 |
| HG2-179 | Fleet Lane, Eshald Lane, Oulton | 40 |
| HG2-181 | Land at Leadwell Lane, Robin Hood | 60 |
| HG2-184 | Westgate Lane, Lofthouse | 50 |
| HG2-185 | Church Farm, Lofthouse | 188 |
| | TOTAL | 922 |

- 3.30 Modifications are also proposed to delete 2 safeguarded sites on the basis that there is currently no exceptional circumstances to release Green Belt land to provide for safeguarded land beyond the plan period, given the Council are only proposing to release sites which help deliver housing up to 2023 upon adoption of the SAP.

| Reference | Address | Capacity |
|-----------|--------------------------|----------|
| HG3-27 | Church Lane, Mickletown | 55 |
| HG3-28 | Pinfold Lane, Mickletown | 50 |
| | TOTAL | 105 |

- 3.31 Modifications delete one greenspace site at (G870) Rothwell Pastures, Part II because it has planning permission for another use.

Outer South East

- 3.32 Detailed modifications are set out in **Appendix 2**, the following are headline changes.
- 3.33 Modifications are proposed which delete 4 HG2 housing releases from the Green Belt on the basis that these are not needed to meet housing needs up to 2023. There is also a proposed deletion of one HG1 identified site as this is no longer available for housing.

| Reference | Address | Capacity |
|-----------|-----------------------------------|----------|
| HG1-317 | 2 Brigshaw Lane, Allerton Bywater | 8 |
| HG2-124 | Land at Stourton Grange, Garforth | 1,090 |
| HG2-127 | Newtown Farm, Micklefield | 42 |
| HG2-128 | Selby Road, Leeds Road, Kippax | 40 |
| HG2-131 | Whitehouse Lane, Great Preston | 40 |
| HG2-132 | Brigshaw Lane, Kippax | 76 |

| | | |
|--|-------|-------|
| | TOTAL | 1,296 |
|--|-------|-------|

- 3.34 Modifications are proposed which amend the status of a former safeguarded site as follows so as to reflect its contribution towards housing numbers, following being allowed on appeal:

| Reference | Address | Original Capacity | Amendment |
|-----------|------------------|-------------------|--|
| HG3-19 | Moorgate, Kippax | 166 | Now listed as HG1-521 under policy HG1, capacity 156 |

Outer South West

- 3.35 Detailed modifications are set out in **Appendix 2**, the following are headline changes.
- 3.36 Modifications are proposed which delete 5 HG2 housing releases from the Green Belt on the basis that these are not needed to meet housing needs up to 2023. There is also a proposed deletion of two HG1 identified sites as these are no longer available for housing.

| Reference | Address | Capacity |
|-----------|--|----------|
| HG1-327 | Barkly Road | 25 |
| HG1-344 | Albert Road, Morley | 40 |
| HG2-144 | Westfield Farm, Drighlington | 17 |
| HG2-145 | Bradford Road, Wakefield Road, Gildersome | 393 |
| HG2-147 | Highfield Drive, Harthill Lane, Gildersome | 76 |
| HG2-148 | Gelder Road, Gildersome | 203 |
| HG2-170 | Land off Haigh Moor Road | 41 |
| | TOTAL | 795 |

- 3.37 Modifications are also proposed to delete 1 safeguarded site on the basis that there is currently no exceptional circumstances to release Green Belt land to provide for safeguarded land beyond the plan period, given the Council are only proposing to release sites which help deliver housing up to 2023 upon adoption of the SAP.

| Reference | Address | Capacity |
|-----------|----------------------|----------|
| HG3-21 | Gelder Road, Wortley | 315 |

- 3.38 Modifications are proposed which amend the status of a former safeguarded site as follows so as to reflect its contribution towards housing numbers, following permission being allowed on appeal.

| Reference | Address | Original Capacity | Amendment |
|-----------|-----------------------------|-------------------|--|
| HG3-24 | Bradford Road, East Ardsley | 218 | Now listed as HG1-522 under policy HG1, capacity 299 |

- 3.39 Modifications are also proposed to reduce the site HG2-167 Old Thorpe Lane (land at) from 619 homes to 207 homes as a result of the initial larger site not being needed to meet housing needs up to 2023. The site at HG2-171 Westerton Road is also reduced in scale from 195 to 35 homes.
- 3.40 Modifications are also proposed to reduce the size of EG1-48 (Opp Ravell Works Gelderd Road, Wortley) from 5.02ha to 3.19ha and to delete an employment site on the basis that it is not now available as follows.

| Reference | Address | Capacity |
|-----------|-------------------------|----------|
| EG2-20 | Fall Lane, East Ardsley | 0.59ha |

- 3.41 Modifications delete one greenspace site at (G655) Main Street (site of old PH) because it has planning permission for another use and add a green space site G1890 Healey Croft, Tingley.

Outer West

- 3.42 Detailed modifications are set out in **Appendix 2**, the following are headline changes.
- 3.43 Modifications are proposed which delete six HG2 housing releases from the Green Belt on the basis that these are not needed to meet housing needs up to 2023. There is also a proposed deletion of three HG1 identified sites as these are no longer available for housing.

| Reference | Address | Capacity |
|-----------|--|----------|
| HG1-155 | Elder Road, Swinnow Road | 25 |
| HG1-157 | Elder Road | 22 |
| HG1-163 | Vernon Place | 8 |
| HG2-54 | Upper Carr Lane, Calverley | 18 |
| HG2-55 | Calverley Lane, Calverley | 18 |
| HG2-56 | Rodley Lane, Calverley Lane, Calverley | 53 |
| HG2-59 | Land at Rodley Lane | 17 |
| HG2-76 | Hough Side Road, Pudsey | 200 |
| HG2-80 | Acres Hall Avenue, Pudsey | 62 |
| | TOTAL | |

- 3.44 Modifications are also proposed to delete two safeguarded sites on the basis that there is currently no exceptional circumstances to release Green Belt land to provide for safeguarded land beyond the plan period, given the Council are only proposing to release sites which help deliver housing up to 2023 upon adoption of the SAP.

| Reference | Address | Capacity |
|-----------|----------------------|----------|
| HG3-16 | Land off Gamble Lane | 120 |
| HG3-29 | Land off Gamble Lane | 200 |
| | TOTAL | |

- 3.45 Modifications are proposed which amend the status of a former safeguarded site as follows so as to reflect its contribution towards housing numbers,

following being approved following Secretary of State's views on the status of such sites prior to submission of the SAP:

| Reference | Address | Original Capacity | Amendment |
|-----------|----------------------------|-------------------|--|
| HG3-17 | Low Moor Side, New Farnley | 130 | Now listed as HG1-523 under policy HG1, capacity 130 |

- 3.46 Modifications delete one greenspace site at (G1430) Chaucer Avenue because it has planning permission for another use.

Sustainability Appraisal

- 3.47 The MMs have been subject to Sustainability Appraisal which is contained at **Appendix 3** (supplementary to follow) and reveals that the Modifications do not result in a substantive change to the SA effects arising from the Submission draft SAP. All of the Modifications have been subject to a screening process to identify which require further SA assessment. It is not considered necessary to assess the majority of the modifications where changes relating to updated factual information and clarification do not amend the principle of the site allocation and therefore have no need for further SA. However all new and revised policies, and sites subject to boundary changes have been assessed and the results recorded in the SA Addendum at **Appendix 3** (supplementary to follow). The principal findings relate to the reduced number of housing allocations and resultant reduction in the overall quantum of development accommodated by the SAP, which is assessed to have positive effects on SA objectives relating to making best use of previously developed land, biodiversity, geological and landscape interests.

4 Next steps

- 4.1 Subject to Executive Board's approval, it is proposed to undertake a 6 week period of public consultation on the MMs and Sustainability Appraisal, in accordance with the Local Plan Regulations and the Council's Statement of Community Involvement.
- 4.2 Any representations arising from the consultation will be sent to and considered by the Inspectors before they produce their final conclusions as to whether the Plan is considered legally compliant, is sound and whether the local planning authority complied with its Duty to Cooperate in relation to its preparation. The Inspectors must conclude that all of the above matters are satisfied (with or without modifications) before it can be adopted by the Council. There is no statutory requirement for the LPA to adopt a plan however, if the LPA proceeds to adoption, the MMs would need to be made to meet the statutory requirements.
- 4.3 The modifications recommended by the Inspectors as part of their final report will be brought to Executive Board seeking a recommendation to Full Council for the adoption of the SAP.

5 Corporate Considerations

5.1 Consultation and Engagement

5.1.1 Further main modifications recommended by the Inspector to make the Plan sound will be advertised for a 6 week period for further comment. A comprehensive Report of Consultation accompanied the submission of the Plan and forms part of the robust evidence base that supports it. It provides a compilation and narrative on the extensive consultation and engagement activity previously undertaken.

5.1.2 As noted in paragraph 7 above, the focus of this public consultation is to invite representations specifically on the MMs (and the updated Sustainability Appraisal). This is not therefore an opportunity to comment further on matters which are not subject to MMs, which have been settled by the Inspector via the examination process.

5.2 Equality and Diversity / Cohesion and Integration

5.2.1 In the preparation of the SAP, due regard has been given throughout the process to Equality, Diversity, Cohesion and Integration issues. This has included the completion of EDCI Screenings of the SAP at relevant stages.

5.2.2 In addition, issues of Equality, Diversity, Cohesion and Integration, are embedded as part of the objectives of the Sustainability Appraisal, which has also been considered throughout the process. The SAP material follows on and reflects the approach set out in the Core Strategy, which has also had the same regard to these issues.

5.3 Council Policies and City Priorities

5.3.1 The Site Allocations Plan plays a key strategic role in taking forward the spatial and land use elements of the Vision for Leeds and the aspiration to be 'the Best City in the UK'. Related to this overarching approach and in addressing a range of social, environmental and economic objectives, the Plan seeks to implement key City Council priorities. These include the Best Council Plan (2018/19 – 2020/21) (in particular priorities relating to Health and Wellbeing, Inclusive Growth, Safe Strong Communities, Culture, Child Friendly City, Housing (of the right quality, type, tenure and affordability in the right places) and 21st century infrastructure) and Leeds Inclusive Growth Strategy 2018 – 2023 (concerning all people to benefit from the economy to their full potential). Once adopted, the Plan will form part of the Local Plan for Leeds, alongside the Core Strategy, the UDP, the Aire Valley Leeds Area Action Plan and the Natural Resources and Waste Plan and any made neighbourhood plans.

5.4 Resources and value for money

5.4.1 Whilst the costs of the SAP have been met within existing budgets the preparation of the statutory Local Plan is a necessary but a very resource intensive process. This is due to the time and cost of document preparation (relating to public consultation and engagement), the preparation and

monitoring of an extensive evidence base, legal advice and Independent Examination. These challenges are compounded currently by the financial constraints upon the public sector and resourcing levels, concurrent with new technical and planning policy pressures arising from more recent legislation (including the Community Infrastructure Levy and Localism Act). There are considerable demands for officers, members and the community in taking the Development Plan process forward.

5.5 Legal Implications, Access to Information and Call In

5.5.1 The preparation of the SAP is in compliance with the statutory Local Plan process in accordance with the as amended Town and Planning County Planning Act 1990, Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, The Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004, Town and Country Planning (Local Plans) Regulations 2012.

5.5.2 The SAP, upon receipt of the Inspectors' MMs, is now at a very advanced stage in its progression to final adoption. Within this context it can be afforded significant weight in the determination of planning applications. This position reflects the Secretary of State's view on the weight to be afforded to Plans at this stage as part of a plan-led system in the NPPF. Those matters which are not subject to MMs are considered therefore to be sound and those matters subject to MMs are considered to be sound, as modified, subject to representations received during the consultation.

5.5.3 There is a legal requirement that the SAP must be subject to a process of Sustainability Appraisal and Strategic Environment Assessment (SEA) and Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA). The Sustainability Appraisal (SA) report and further SA addendums as well as the HRA have therefore been prepared alongside the SAP to fulfil the SA, SEA and HRA requirements.

5.5.4 In accordance with the Council's budget and policy framework, decisions relating to the SAP are reserved to Full Council. As such, the recommendation is not a key decision and is not subject to call in as the Plan is a matter that will ultimately be determined by Full Council.

5.6 Risk Management

5.6.1 Without current allocations Plans for Leeds District in place, aspects of the existing UDP allocations will become out of date and will not reflect or deliver the Core Strategy Policies and proposals (including District wide requirements for Housing and General Employment Land) or the requirements of national planning guidance. Early delivery is therefore essential to enable the Council to demonstrate that sufficient land will be available when needed to meet the Core Strategy targets. In addition, the Government is intervening in authorities without Plans in place. The more the work progresses, the more material weight can be given to it. This current stage is a critical point in the process as it gives the SAP as amended by the potential MMs significant weight in resisting speculative applications for developments not in line with the Council's Plan.

6 Conclusion

- 6.1 As a result of the public examination process (including the hearing sessions) and matters raised by the Inspectors, a schedule of potential MMs to the Plan are recommended by the Inspectors in order to make it 'sound'. The Inspector's further post hearing note is attached as **Appendix 1**. The schedule of Inspector's potential MMs is attached as **Appendix 2** to this report. The MMs have been subject of an updated Sustainability Appraisal, the results of which are included as **Appendix 3** (supplementary to follow).
- 6.2 The receipt of Inspectors' MMs is a significant milestone of what has been a lengthy and complex process, especially for a District the size and distinctiveness of Leeds. This is a major achievement in taking forward the Best Council Plan priorities, in facilitating inclusive growth, new jobs, homes (including affordable houses and meeting the needs of older persons), the protection and enhancement of the environment and the coordination and delivery of infrastructure (including schools).

7 Recommendation

- 7.1 Executive Board is asked to agree to the Schedule of Main Modifications attached as **Appendix 2** and the Sustainability Appraisal of the Main Modifications attached as **Appendix 3** (supplementary to follow) being subject to a 6 week period of public consultation.

8 Background Papers

- 8.1 None

Leeds Site Allocations Plan – Further Post Hearing Note to the Council

1. The views we have expressed in the Hearing sessions and in this note on potential Main Modifications and related policies map changes are based on the evidence before us, including the discussion that took place at the hearing sessions. However, our final conclusions on soundness and legal compliance of the submitted plan will be provided in the report which we will produce after consultation on the potential main modifications has been completed. In reaching our conclusions, we will take into account any representations made in response to the consultation. Consequently, the views we expressed during the hearing sessions and in this letter about soundness and the potential Main Modifications which may be necessary to achieve a sound plan could alter following the consultation process of main modifications to the submitted plan.
2. Thank you for the additional work carried out by the Council following the Hearing sessions held in July and August this year (EX75) and in response to the Procedural / Post – Hearing Note to the Council produced by the Inspectors (EX72a) which includes work to clarify and justify which of the Green Belt housing sites should be retained and which should be deleted to provide supply for years 1-11 (up to 2023) of the adopted Core Strategy only. The purpose of this Note is to inform the Council of the Inspectors views on a number of matters related to this work and set out Main Modifications considered to be necessary as a result.
3. Spatial Policy 6 (SP6) of the Leeds Core Strategy sets out the housing requirement and allocation of housing land. It states that guided by the Settlement Hierarchy, the Council will identify 66,000 dwellings. Paragraph 4.6.12 confirms that the 66,000 units that will be identified will be composed of current, undelivered allocations (7,500 units), extant planning permissions (20,000 units) and other sites which are deemed to be appropriate for housing delivery, as per the guidelines in Spatial Policy 6 (Figures as at March 2011).
4. The housing requirement for years 1-11 only (to 2023) is calculated as 43,750. This is reflecting the lower Core Strategy (CS) Policy SP6 target of 3,660 per year to be delivered from 2012/13 to the end of 2016/17. A Main Modification will be required to ensure that both the housing requirement to 2023 is clear and that Table 1 includes expected delivery to 2023 having regard to non-Green Belt and Green Belt sites.

Policy HG1 - Identified Sites

5. The CS is clear that the role of the SAP is to identify, in addition to current undelivered allocations and extant planning permissions, those “other sites which are deemed to be appropriate for housing delivery”. Paragraph 2.27 of the SAP confirms that the SAP needs to identify land to accommodate 66,000 dwellings, albeit for the purposes of a reduced plan period for housing, this is now 43,750.
6. The submission SAP refers not only to allocations but other ‘identified sites’ as set out in Policy HG1. All identified sites are included on the Policies Map. Identified sites include those with existing planning permission (extant planning permissions), sites previously allocated for housing in the Unitary Development Plan (UDP) (undelivered allocations) and sites where planning permission has recently expired. This latter category is not specifically referred to in the CS and so must be regarded as “other sites which are deemed to be

Leeds Site Allocations Plan – Further Post Hearing Note to the Council

appropriate for housing delivery” notwithstanding that they are not put forward as, or given the status of, site allocations.

7. The Inspectors do not consider it is correct to refer to this category as sites where planning permission has ‘recently’ expired. Many have expired some years ago and in some cases the date of the planning permission pre-dates the issue of the 2012 National Planning Policy Framework against which this plan is to be assessed. With the exception of sites situated within the Green Belt and those the Council consider should be deleted following the required SA of these sites, the Inspectors accept that the remaining sites would generally be in locations that broadly accord with the settlement hierarchy, as per the guidelines in CS Policy SP6 and so there is a realistic prospect that planning permission may once again be forthcoming. In this sense they may be deemed to be appropriate for housing delivery. However, unlike allocated sites, the number and capacity of sites that may fall within this category will be in a state of constant change and can only represent a snap-shot in time for the purposes of this examination. Consequently, they can only give a broad quantitative indication of the likely level of supply that may be forthcoming from this category, assuming planning permissions would be forthcoming. The deliverability of any specific sites cannot be so rigorously tested in the same way as allocations as the list will quickly be out of date.
8. Based on the evidence available and given that the SAP is now only intended to offer a short term solution to housing provision pending the outcome of the on-going Core Strategy Selective Review, the Inspectors consider this group of sites can be accepted as contributing towards the housing supply to 2023 for the purposes of this plan and for guiding the calculations of residual shortfall to be met by allocations. Close monitoring will be required to assess the ongoing interest in sites included in this category and potential deliverability.
9. A Main Modification is required to delete any references to ‘recently’ expired planning permissions. In addition, to reflect the status of these sites, they should not be individually referenced under Policy HG1 but simply included in an annex of sites contributing to supply (at the date of the submission of the SAP). They should be deleted from the Policies Map as they will not necessarily exist for the duration of the Plan. For the avoidance of doubt, the inclusion of sites where planning permission has expired and that are situated within the Green Belt or, having been subject to SA, were no longer deemed appropriate for housing delivery are not justified and should not be included. The same approach will be required for sites with planning permission which will also be subject to change as permissions expire and should therefore only be included as an annex and deleted from the Policies Map.
10. Those sites included within the UDP need not be included on the Policies Map since they remain allocated sites in the UDP. As discussed at the Hearings, a Main Modification ensuring adequate sign posting to relevant policies relating to these sites in the UDP will be required to ensure Policy HG1 is effective.
11. The same approach will be adopted for Policy EO1 ‘Identified Sites for Office Use’ and EG1 ‘Identified Sites for General Employment Use’.

Green Belt Release

12. The Council has now identified which of the Green Belt housing sites should be retained and which should be deleted to provide supply for years 1-11 (up to 2023) of the adopted Core Strategy. You will recall that this was considered necessary because until such time as the Core Strategy Selective Review examination is concluded, there is a great deal of uncertainty about what the need figure (and requirement) should be and whether the Core Strategy need figure is up to date. Given that national policy attaches great importance to the Green Belt and only envisages altering boundaries in exceptional circumstances, significant releases of land from the Green Belt would not be justified at this stage.
13. From the additional information provided the Inspectors understand that the proposed allocations in addition to the identified sites would provide some 45,060 dwellings pre-2023, a surplus of 1,310 dwellings. A deficit to 2023 of 2,868 dwellings would arise without any Green Belt release (Housing requirement to 2023 of 43,750 minus total non-Green Belt delivery to 2023 of 40,882 = 2,868). Nevertheless, the Council proposes the release of sites with an anticipated delivery of 4178 dwellings on Green Belt sites up to 2023 and delivery of some 1774 units from these sites post 2023.
14. The Inspectors consider that exceptional circumstances would exist for the release of Green Belt sites necessary to meet the housing requirement to 2023. The Procedural / Post Hearing Note made it clear that ‘only those sites necessary to make housing provision for years 1-11 of the plan period should be released from the Green Belt and those housing sites or parts of allocated housing/mixed use sites not required to achieve this should be deleted by way of MMs to the submitted SAP’.
15. The Inspectors have considered carefully those Green Belt release sites expected to deliver a significant proportion of the site’s estimated capacity post 2023. In doing so, the Inspectors have also had regard to the Spatial Development Strategy policies within the CS. In the case of the following sites, the Inspectors are not satisfied that exceptional circumstances have been demonstrated to justify the release of Green Belt land.

HG2-124 (Land at Stourton Grange)

16. This site would be providing a significant and disproportionate amount of its residential development beyond 2023 (the Housing plan period); some 690 homes of 1090.
17. Furthermore, the site as proposed for 1,090 homes does not have a permanent boundary at present, and the proposed boundary does not follow any physical features. Paragraph 85 of the 2012 National Planning Policy Framework states that boundaries should be defined clearly, using physical features that are recognisable and likely to be permanent. This is not the case here. Local authorities should also satisfy themselves that the Green Belt boundaries will not need to be altered at the end of the development plan period. As there is no existing boundary it cannot be guaranteed that this would be the case.

Leeds Site Allocations Plan – Further Post Hearing Note to the Council

18. It is acknowledged that this site would be an urban extension and would provide infrastructure sufficient for the needs of the development. In addition, Outer South East is one of the Housing Market Characteristic Areas (HMCAs) not expected to meet the housing distribution target contained in Table 3 of Core Strategy Spatial Policy 7 (SP7), if calculated pro-rata to 2023. This site would therefore make a significant contribution to that target. However, the percentage figures are intended as a guide rather than rigid targets and the distribution target within Policy SP7 is for the longer period to 2028 in any event.
19. The delivery of 400 dwellings by 2023 would not justify the release of a larger Green Belt site to accommodate some 1090 dwellings overall. The 400 dwellings that are expected to be delivered by 2023 are not strictly required to meet the overall housing requirement to 2023. The Inspectors consider that exceptional circumstances do not exist to release this site from the Green Belt through this SAP.
20. A Main Modification will be necessary to delete this site.

MX2-39 (Parlington Estate, Aberford).

21. This site is advanced as a new sustainable settlement for 792 dwellings and 5 ha of general employment land including provision of a school and new centre. This site would be providing a significant and disproportionate amount of the housing development beyond 2023 (the Housing Plan period); some 692 homes of the 792 expected to be accommodated on this site.
22. The Inspectors are mindful that the loss of 100 dwellings to 2023 (792 to 2028) in the Outer North East HMCA would result in a deficit in the housing distribution target contained in Table 3 of Policy SP7, when calculated pro-rata to 2023. However, the percentage figures are intended as a guide rather than rigid targets and the distribution target relates to the full plan period to 2028 in any event. The latest work carried out by the Council shows that contributions to general employment land from identified sites has reduced by some 13 ha resulting in a deficit of 12.55 ha against the Core Strategy requirement of 493 ha. The further reduction of 5 ha of general employment land to 2028 will add to this deficit.
23. Notwithstanding these considerations, the site is unrelated to the Main Urban Area, Major Settlements and Smaller Settlements. It is in a location which, in accordance with Core Strategy Policy SP10 (Green Belt), will only exceptionally be considered in certain circumstances.
24. The delivery of 100 homes by 2023 would not justify the release of this Green Belt site, in this location. The 100 dwellings that are expected to be delivered by 2023 are not strictly required to meet the overall housing requirement to 2023. The reduction of 5ha in the context of the overall general employment land requirement to 2028 is marginal. The Inspectors consider that exceptional circumstances do not exist to release this site from the Green Belt through this SAP.
25. A Main Modification will be necessary to delete this site.

Other Green Belt Release Sites

26. The Inspectors will inform the Council separately if they have any other concerns about the site selection process for determining which other sites included in the submission SAP should or should not be released from the Green Belt to make provision to 2023 and whether any further Main Modifications will be necessary as a result.

Rural Land / Green Belt Review

27. The SAP designates the majority of the UDP area of Rural Land as Green Belt, as part of the Review process (paragraphs 2.34-2.35). Consistent with National Policy Green Belt boundaries should only be altered in exceptional circumstances. The Inspectors asked the Council to carry out further work to justify this designation and the consequential changes to the Green Belt boundary; in short to demonstrate that there are exceptional circumstances to justify the inclusion of additional land in the Green Belt through the SAP.
28. The Council set out in CD1/31 that new Green Belt land is primarily justified by the scale of development required, following an assessment of Rural Land within the Outer North East HMCA. The change in circumstances (bullet ii) of para 82 of the 2012 NPPF outlined by the Council to support the designation, is stated as being the production of the SAP allocating land for 66,000 homes and 493ha of land. However, this was already known when the Core Strategy was being produced. This is not therefore a major change in circumstance since the time of the preparation of the Core Strategy when the need for a Green Belt review was being considered. In addition, the SAP will now only allocate housing land up to 2023, thus reducing the particular pressures on Outer North East HMCA due to the resulting lower housing figure.
29. The Inspectors are not satisfied that the Council has demonstrated that the Rural Land planning policies contained within the Core Strategy would not be adequate in the context of meeting housing provision in years 1-11. This is a soundness consideration, and it is considered that exceptional circumstances do not exist for the designation of the majority of the UDP Rural Land as Green Belt through the SAP.
30. A Main Modification will be necessary to delete the proposed designation of Rural Land to Green Belt.

Conclusion

31. To conclude, with the necessary Main Modifications set out in this note, the Inspectors consider the SAP would ensure the provision of adequate dwellings to meet the housing requirement to 2023 whilst ensuring any potential unnecessary Green Belt release is avoided pending the outcome of the Core Strategy Selective Review. A small surplus of around 800 dwellings (1310 - 500 (as a result of these Main Modifications) = 810) to 2023 would remain offering some flexibility. In addition, delivery on non-Green Belt sites beyond the housing plan period (between 2023 to 2028), is still expected to be in the region of 14,766 dwellings, in addition to the on-going delivery of around 292 dwellings still remaining

Leeds Site Allocations Plan – Further Post Hearing Note to the Council

from the capacity of the selected Green Belt release sites (and 100 dwellings on HG2-26 which will remain in the Green Belt).

32. For the avoidance of doubt, these Main Modifications are required in addition to those previously discussed at the Hearings or set out in the Procedural / Post Hearing Note.

Claire Sherratt DipURP MRTPI and Louise Gibbons BA Hons MRTPI

Inspectors appointed to examine the Leeds Site Allocations Plan.

20 December 2018



Leeds Site Allocations Plan

Leeds Local Plan

Proposed Modifications to the Leeds Submission
Draft Site Allocations Plan May 2017

January 2019

PROPOSED MODIFICATIONS TO THE SUBMISSION PLAN (MAY 2017)

The main modifications ('mods') proposed to the Submission Plan **CD1/1** are as detailed in the table below:

Where paragraphs are deleted/added re-numbering of the Plan will be carried out at the end of the process. Similarly lists of sites within individual HMCAs will be presented in numerical order. These are considered to be additional modifications.

Main Modifications to the Submission Draft Plan (May 2017) are shown in hatched grey boxes.

Main Modifications already proposed (including **EX9a-c** where relevant) and actions arising from hearing sessions are shown in white boxes.

Modification Numbers are omitted pending further modifications from the Inspector at which point numbering will be revised as a composite set of modifications.

Any reference in the Plan to background papers will be removed and clarity provided on references to the NPPF (2012). These will be addressed as additional modifications.

Plans related to previous Modifications in EX9b and Map 1 showing extent of Green Belt under Policy GB1 are included. Other Plans in relation to amended sites will accompany the Modifications in due course.

Where modifications amend text this is shown as ~~tracked changes~~ as follows:

| | |
|------------------|---------------------------------------|
| New text in | red |
| Deleted text in | red strikethrough non-bold |
| Existing text in | black non-bold |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| 1 | CD1/1a Page 8 | Section 1 Introduction ¶1.5 | <p>Amend ¶1.5 as follows:</p> <p>The evidence base of the Core Strategy is continually monitored and as subsequent demographic projections are released it will be important to evaluate whether they have an impact on the full objectively assessed needs of the City. Whilst the most recent post-Census projections suggest that Council's emerging work on housing need, using up to date post census projections, identifies a lower housing requirement than that in the Adopted Core Strategy. This is being progressed in a Core Strategy Selective Review. may be needed in Leeds it is too early to tell whether these are structural and long term changes to the Leeds population or simply as a result of the recent recession. In these circumstances, given that national policy attaches great importance to the Green Belt and only envisages altering boundaries in exceptional circumstances, significant release of land from the Green Belt is not justified upon Adoption of the Plan. However, there remains a need for limited release of Green Belt up to year 11 of the plan period (to 2023). To that end, the Site Allocations Plan aims to support the full Core Strategy housing requirement up to year 11 of the plan (to 2023), beyond which a review of the Plan will be undertaken to bring it into line with the housing requirement within the Core Strategy Selective Review. However, to ensure sufficient supply of land, achievement of plan targets and choice and competition it is not justified to have phasing policies in the SAP at this stage but contains policies such as those on phasing and the identification of Safeguarded Land to ensure that all sites are not immediately released for development and to enable flexibility for the Plan as a whole to respond to any potential changes to the overall housing requirement.</p> | For clarity, in response to Post-Hearing Procedural Note, acknowledging that the Council's emerging work on housing need identifies a lower figure than the Core Strategy. |
| 2 | CD1/1a Page 8 | ¶1.6 | <p>Continue ¶1.6 to add text at the end, as follows:</p> <p>“...(the Plan Period), as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Housing (HG1, HG2, HG4, HG5, MX1, MX2) up to 31st March 2023 with a need to submit a SAP Review no later than 31st December 2021, following Adoption of Core Strategy Selective Review • Safeguarded Land (HG3) beyond 31st March 2028 (acknowledging a need for a Site Allocations Plan review, to be adopted before 31st March 2023, where there will be a need to consider any additional Green Belt land that may need to be released to reflect the | For clarity on the different plan periods within the SAP in response to Post-Hearing Procedural Note and need for a commitment to review the Plan to take account of the Core Strategy |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|---|--|
| | | | <p>implications of revised Core Strategy Selective Review housing requirements upon the quantum of safeguarded land required)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Gypsy and Traveller (HG6, HG7) up to 31st March 2024 (thereafter subject to a Site Allocations Plan review to address any disparity between allocated sites and requirements within Core Strategy Policy H7) • Travelling Showpeople (HG8) up to 31st March 2028 • Employment (EG1, EG2, EO1, EO2) up to 31st March 2028 • Retail (RTC1, RTC2, RTC3, RTC4) up to 31st March 2028 • Green Space (GS1) up to 31st March 2028 | Selective Review. |
| 3 | CD1/1a Page 16 | ¶2.27, ¶2.27a and ¶2.27b | <p>Amend ¶2.27 as follows:</p> <p>“In allocating sites for Housing, the Site Allocations Plan needs to meet the Core Strategy housing target, deliver the an ambitious level of growth required as well as meeting the need for specialist accommodation (for independent living, Gypsies and Travellers and travelling showpeople) and the focus on accommodating development within the identified settlement hierarchy. The scale of the housing target means that a Green Belt review has been necessary. The Background Paper – Green Belt Review explains this process. See also paragraph 2.33 below.</p> <p>The Site Allocations Plan needs to identify land to accommodate 66,000 dwellings Core Strategy Policy SP7 further breaks down the total housing target for Leeds as follows (columns 2 and 3 in Table 1):</p> <p>Replace ¶2.27 with new text in ¶2.27a and ¶2.27b as follows:</p> <p>2.27a The Site Allocations Plan does not meet all of the Core Strategy requirement between 2012 and 2028. To do so would require the release of significant amounts of Green Belt land. Only those sites necessary to make housing provision for years 1 to 11 (2012-2023) of the current plan period (2012-28) are released from the Green Belt. Non-Green Belt allocated and identified sites can make provision for housing for years 1 to 16. In doing this the Council ensures that annual Core Strategy housing requirements can readily be achieved up to 2023 and that there is choice and competition in the market for land throughout the</p> | For clarity, in response to the Post-Hearing Procedural Note, acknowledging that the Council’s emerging work on housing need identifies a lower figure than the Core Strategy. |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|
| | | | <p>City with a degree of flexibility. To that end, there are exceptional circumstances to justify the release of land from the Green Belt to meet housing needs up to 2023 only.</p> <p>2.27b As part of this strategic approach to meeting housing needs whilst ensuring that only minimal releases of land be made from the Green Belt, the Council is committing to a review of the Plan, when the Core Strategy Selective Review is Adopted and a new housing requirement is established for the City. At that time, the Council shall consider whether there is a need for further housing allocations and whether there are exceptional circumstances for any further release of Green Belt land to meet the up to date housing requirements of the City. This will require the submission of a Site Allocations Plan Review no later than 31st December 2021 to the Secretary of State, in line with the Council's Local Development Scheme. Policy 'Housing Review 1' (HGR1) sets out the Council's commitment to this review.</p> | |
| 4 | CD1/1a Page 16 | New Policy HGR1 | <p>Insert new policy after ¶2.27b as follows:</p> <p>HGR 1 THE SITE ALLOCATIONS PLAN WILL BE SUBJECT OF A REVIEW DURING THE PLAN PERIOD, AS FOLLOWS:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. TO BE COMMENCED FOLLOWING ADOPTION OF THE CORE STRATEGY SELECTIVE REVIEW, 2. TO BE SUBMITTED NO LATER THAN 31 DECEMBER 2021, AND 3. TO ENSURE THAT SUFFICIENT LAND FOR HOUSING IS ALLOCATED AND SAFEGUARDED LAND DESIGNATED SO AS TO COMPLY WITH CORE STRATEGY SELECTIVE REVIEW HOUSING REQUIREMENTS. | <p>To ensure the plan is positively prepared and effective, in response to Post-Hearing Procedural Note, acknowledging that the Council's emerging work on housing need identifies a lower figure than the Core Strategy.</p> |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|---|--|
| 5 | CD1/1a Page 16 | ¶2.27d Table 1 ¶2.28 | <p>Insert text as follows to ¶2.27d</p> <p>2.27c Table 1 breaks down the identified and allocated housing capacity by Housing Market Characteristic Area in line with the indicative targets for distribution of housing set out in Core Strategy Policy SP7.</p> <p>Delete Table 1 and replace with Table 1 set out at Appendix 1 to this schedule.</p> <p>Amend ¶2.28 by adding new text at the start of the paragraph as follows:</p> <p>“The +/- performance against indicative HMCA targets up to 2028 is shown in the last column. The greatest differences between Policy SP7 HMCA targets and allocated capacity are in those HMCAs, which rely on Green Belt releases. There is a clear need for new housing in the District and a significant requirement is established in the Core Strategy.....”</p> | To ensure the plan is positively prepared and effective, in response to Post-Hearing Procedural Note, acknowledging that the Council’s emerging work on housing need identifies a lower figure than the Core Strategy. |
| 6 | CD1/1a Page 17 | ¶ 2.29 | <p>Amend ¶2.29 as follows:</p> <p>“New allocations are not needed to accommodate all of the 66,000 target. The Council already has an existing supply of 35,37435,950 dwellings (previous UDP housing allocations not developed, planning permissions with units still remaining to be built as at 1.4.16 and sites with an recently expired permission (this includes sites covered by the Aire Valley Area Action Plan) which can be deducted from the total, as shown in column 4, Existing Supply, in Table 1 above). This is not of course spread evenly across the housing market characteristic areas. These sites are listed in Section 3, Policy HG1 for each area. This leaves a residual target for each area. The overall residual target is 30,62630,050 (the overall target minus existing supply). New housing allocations are proposed to meet the residual target consistent with Core Strategy policy and in line with ¶2.27 above, where Green Belt release is necessary to meet targets up to 2023. ... The distribution set out in Table 1 is considered to properly reflect the guidance set out in Policy SP7, and the wider ambitions of the Core Strategy and national policy, which attaches great importance to the Green Belt and only envisages altering boundaries in exceptional circumstances”.</p> | For clarity. Modification previously proposed in EX9b as modification 1 in response to EX21 “Response by the Council to Actions from Stage 1 Hearings”, 14 th December 2017, Hearing Day 4 27 th October question 4. |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|
| 7 | CD1/1a Page 17 | ¶2.29 | <p>Continue ¶2.29 as follows</p> <p>“Policy HG1 applies to identified housing sites which have extant planning permission, have expired planning permission but are still deemed to be appropriate for housing delivery or are allocated in the UDP. For purpose of ease and reference UDP sites are this is repeated detailed for each HMCA in Section 3, with other identified sites listed in Annex 1. the relevant list of sites which form part of the policy. Any site requirements identified in the UDP under this reference are also retained, and planning applications should have regard to these. Planning applications should have regard to the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.”</p> | <p>For clarity for plan-users, so it is clear that the saved UDP requirements for identified sites are still applicable. Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 2. Amendment brings wording in line with paras 2.85 and 2.88 of the Plan, as detailed in EX24 Further Responses by the Council to Actions from Stage 1 Hearings, 9th February 2018, Hearing Day 4 27 October 2017, question 3.</p> |
| 8 | CD1/1a Page 17 | ¶2.29 Policy HG1 | <p>Amend Policy HG1 as follows:</p> <p>THE SITE ALLOCATIONS PLAN IDENTIFIES THAT THE FOLLOWING CATEGORY OF SITES WHICH CONTRIBUTE TO OVERALL SUPPLY:</p> <p>1) SITES THAT HAVE EXISTING PLANNING PERMISSION; AND</p> <p>1)2) OR RECENTLY EXPIRED PLANNING PERMISSIONS FOR HOUSING OR MIXED USE INCLUDING HOUSING THAT ARE STILL DEEMED TO BE APPROPRIATE FOR HOUSING DELIVERY, OR AND</p> <p>3) WERE PREVIOUSLY ARE ALLOCATED FOR HOUSING IN THE UNITARY</p> | <p>For clarity and to reflect the removal of phasing from the Plan in line with the Post Hearing Procedural Note. Please note this MM relates to all subsequent HMCA</p> |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|
| | | | <p>DEVELOPMENT PLAN. AS IDENTIFIED HOUSING SITES. 3) ALL IDENTIFIED HOUSING SITES ARE IN PHASE 1 FOR RELEASE, IN ACCORDANCE WITH CORE STRATEGY POLICY H1. 4) THESE CATEGORIES OF IDENTIFIED HOUSING SITES CONTRIBUTE TO THE TARGETS FOR THE ELEVEN HOUSING MARKET CHARACTERISTIC AREAS SET OUT IN CORE STRATEGY POLICY SP7.</p> <p>THE UDP SITES ARE SHOWN ON THE POLICIES MAP AND DETAILED WITHIN SECTION 3 FOR EACH HOUSING MARKET CHARACTERISTIC AREA.</p> <p>A LIST OF SITES WITH PLANNING PERMISSION/EXPIRED PLANNING PERMISISON CURRENTLY INCLUDED IN THIS CATEGORY ARE LISTED IN ANNEX 1.</p> <p>Amend on this basis in Section 3 for each HMCA.</p> | sections. |
| 9 | CD1/1a Page 18 | Table 2 | Amend Table 2: Comparison of Housing Allocations against Core Strategy Policy SP7 as set out in Appendix 1 to this schedule. | Consequential modification as a result of deletions or additions to the plan. |
| 10 | CD1/1a Page 19 | ¶2.32 | <p>Delete all references throughout the SAP to phasing of housing land. This relates to the following paragraphs and policies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ¶2.32 • Policy HG1 • ¶2.37 to ¶2.39 (including Table 4 (sic) on page 20 and 21 – “Phasing Approach”) • Policy HG2 • ¶2.50 • Section 3: Policies HG1 and HG2 in each HMCA | <p>For effectiveness to reflect the removal of phasing in the Plan.</p> <p>Please note this MM relates to all subsequent HMCA sections for Policies HG1 and HG2</p> |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| 11 | CD1/1a Page 19 | Table 3 | Amend Table 3: Greenfield / Brownfield split across HMCAs as shown in Appendix 1 to this schedule. | Consequential modification as a result of deletions or additions to the plan. |
| 12 | CD1/1a Page 19 | ¶2.33 | <p>Amend ¶2.33 as follows:</p> <p>“Consistent with national guidance, Green Belt boundaries should only be altered in exceptional circumstances, through the preparation or review of the Local Plan. The Core Strategy sets the context for a Green Belt review in Spatial Policy SP10. -An assessment of sites against the purposes of Green Belts as set out in the NPPF has been carried out on all sites within the previous Green Belt (i.e. as identified in the UDP). See the Background Paper on Green Belt Review for the assessment process used. This assessment of sites has enabled those sites with the least harm on Green Belt purposes to be proposed for allocation, although this inevitably varies to reflect the needs and characteristics of the area concerned. Land is removed from the Green Belt as a consequence of proposing allocations (including those safeguarded under Policy HG6) within it and the revised Green Belt boundary is shown on the plans for each HMCA and the Policies Map...”</p> | For clarity. To make it clear that the Green Belt boundary has been altered where new allocations are proposed within UDP Green Belt. Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 4. Also to clarify that safeguarded existing Gypsy and Traveller sites which lie within the Green Belt will be removed from the Green Belt. |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|--|--|---|--|
| 13 | CD1/1a Page 24 CDR1/1 a Page 24 | ¶2.51 Flooding Issues ¶2.51 Flooding Issues | <p>Delete sentence:</p> <p>“All sites within flood zone 1 on sites larger than 1ha, have to submit a site specific flood risk assessment as part of the planning process.”</p> <p>Replace with sentence:</p> <p>‘It is expected that planning applications for sites in the Plan include a site specific flood risk assessment commensurate with the scale and impact of the proposed development.’</p> <p>Continue ¶2.51:</p> <p>“...For drainage issues, particular regard should be had to Policy Water 6: Flood Risk Assessments and Policy Water 7: Surface Water Run-Off in the adopted Natural Resources and Waste Plan.”</p> | <p>For effectiveness to reflect the wording of adopted Policy Water 6 in the Natural Resources and Waste Local Plan. Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 6.</p> <p>For clarity, to signpost to relevant policies in the adopted Natural Resources and Waste Plan to be read in conjunction with the SAP. New modification in response to Inspectors Actions EX52 (week commencing 16th July, question 25).</p> |
| 14 | CD1/1a Page 25 | ¶2.54 Heritage Assets | <p>Amend ¶2.54 as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Heritage Assets: <p>...Non-designated heritage assets are buildings, archaeology, monuments, sites, places, areas or landscapes that are not designated but have a degree of significance meriting consideration in planning decisions, because of their heritage interest...</p> | <p>For clarity, to reflect archaeology assets, detail considerations to be taken into account in determining planning</p> |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|---------------------|---|---|---|
| | | | | applications. |
| 15 | CD1/1a Page 26 | ¶2.54 Air quality Noise pollution | <p>Revise Air Quality wording to state:</p> <p>“All applications for major development are required to include an air quality assessment in line with Policy AIR 1 of the Natural Resources and Waste Local Plan”.</p> <p>Revise Noise Pollution wording. Replace existing with</p> <p>“Noise pollution: Where a site is in close proximity to a major road (A road or motorway), B road or rail line or for any site within the City Centre a noise assessment is required as part of a planning application.”</p> | <p>The reference to consideration of air quality and noise pollution has been revised to provide consistency and clarity. Modification previously proposed in EX9b as modification 9. To reflect the requirements of Policy AIR 1 of the NRWLP.</p> |
| 16 | CD1/1a Page 26 | ¶2.55 Delivering the Infrastructure Required | <p>Continue ¶2.55, as follows:</p> <p>“The Infrastructure Delivery Plan is up to date as at the date of Adoption and assists the implementation of the Local Plan. It will be kept regularly up to date in liaison with the Combined Authority and relevant infrastructure providers, on the Council’s website. Applicants should have regard to the Infrastructure Delivery Plan when preparing planning applications.”</p> | <p>For effectiveness. Modification previously proposed in EX9b as modification 10. As detailed in EX21 Response by the Council to Actions from Stage 1 Hearings, 14th December 2017, Day 2 25th October 2017 question 1.</p> |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| 17 | CD1/1a Page 27 Page 28 | ¶2.60 | <p>Amend ¶2.60 as follows:</p> <p>“...This would equates to sites with a total housing capacity of 6,600 to meet the current Core Strategy requirement in full. However, due to the Council’s emerging work on housing need and the uncertainty about what a new housing requirement may be, it is not considered justified to identify further safeguarded land releases from Green Belt to meet this requirement in full at Adoption. Hence, in addition to the housing requirement, additional land is identified as safeguarded land the Site Allocations Plan designates safeguarded land with a total indicative housing capacity of 4,666 dwellings. Any shortfall between this and Core Strategy Policy SP10 will be addressed through the Site Allocations Plan Review as set out in Policy HGR1...”</p> <p>Amend “Table 4: The distribution of safeguarded land designations across Leeds” as set out in Appendix 1 to this schedule</p> | For effectiveness and to ensure the Plan is positively prepared in line with the Inspector’s Post Hearing Procedural Note. Final two columns are not considered to be necessary for implementation and are deleted. |
| 18 | CD1/1a Page 31 | ¶2.70 Policy HG6 1(i) | <p>Amend ¶2.70 to read:</p> <p>‘There is also potential to expand this site by a minimum of 3 5 pitches.</p> <p>Amend Policy HG6 as follows:</p> <p>HG6-2 KIDACRE STREET, CITY CENTRE (8 PITCHES AND 5 ADDITIONAL PITCHES)</p> <p>Amend ¶2.73 as follows:</p> <p>...Council managed pitches: 23-25 pitches as against a requirement for 25 pitches...</p> | To update, following further feasibility work and to help meet the identified need target fully (25 pitches). Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 12. (As also detailed in M8/1). Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 13. Reason as above. |

| | | | | |
|----|-------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|
| 19 | CD1/1a Page 32 | ¶2.72 and new Policy HGR2 | <p>Amend ¶2.72 as follows:</p> <p>“There is a need to allocate further sites in order to help to provide for Gypsy and Traveller needs throughout the plan period; these are set out in Policy HG7. The process of identifying new sites is set out in the Housing Background Paper. Detailed planning applications for Gypsy and Traveller sites should have regard to the Core Strategy, PPTS and the NPPF. Other than extensions to existing sites, no submitted private sites were considered suitable, available and achievable for inclusion in the Site Allocations Plan. The process of assessing private site submissions is detailed in the Housing Background Paper. Using an equal annual distribution of the overall pitch requirement throughout the plan period (i.e. 3.87 pitches per year), the SAP upon Adoption identifies sufficient pitches for years 1- 12 only. In the absence of allocated private sites, and in line with the provisions within PPTS, new private sites will be provided where they satisfy the criteria in Core Strategy Policy H7. To justify this approach, the Council will monitor approval rates of currently unidentified Gypsy and Traveller sites in the AMR, alongside the implementation of its managed approach to negotiated stopping. In addition, the Council will undertake an early review of the SAP should the Council’s monitoring determine that the deficit in sites against the Core Strategy targets for private and public provision is not being addressed through the grant of planning permission equal to an additional 13 pitches before 2028. In such circumstances, and in line with the Local Development Scheme, a review will need to have commenced and new sites be identified, in advance of 31st March 2023 so as to ensure that there can be supply equal to 13 pitches post 2024.</p> <p>Insert new Policy HGR2 as follows:</p> <p>HGR 2 THE SITE ALLOCATIONS PLAN WILL BE MONITORED AND SUBJECT TO A REVIEW DURING THE PLAN PERIOD, AS FOLLOWS:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MONITOR THE NUMBER OF PERMISSIONS FOR GYPSY AND TRAVELLER SITES GRANTED BY CORE STRATEGY POLICY H7 AND SAFEGUARDED THROUGH POLICY HG6(2), 2. ADOPT A PLAN REVIEW OF SITES FOR GYPSIES AND TRAVELLERS AGAINST CORE STRATEGY NEEDS IN POLICY H7 FOR PRIVATE AND PUBLIC PROVISION SHOULD THE QUANTUM OF SUCH SITES BE LESS THAN 13 AS AT 31st MARCH 2023 | <p>To ensure that the plan is positively prepared and meets identified needs for Gypsies and Travellers for the plan period. To also ensure that the terms of any review of the Plan are clearly stated to ensure that it remains effective.</p> |
|----|-------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|
| 20 | CD1/1a Page 34 | ¶2.85 | <p>Continue ¶2.85 by inserting: “UDP allocations which have not yet been fully developed are to remain as identified allocations within the saved UDP. Policy EO1 lists saved UDP allocations. Sites with planning permission/expired permission are set out in Annex 2. Column 2 in the table of sites within the policy identifies the UDP reference retained, where applicable. Any site requirements identified in the UDP under this reference are also retained, and planning applications should have regard to these.”</p> <p>Amend Policy EO1 as follows:</p> <p>POLICY EO1 – IDENTIFIED SITES FOR OFFICE USE</p> <p>THE SITE ALLOCATIONS PLAN IDENTIFIES THAT THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES OF SITES CONTRIBUTE TO OVERALL SUPPLY SITES WHICH:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) SITES THAT HAVE EXISTING OR RECENTLY EXPIRED PLANNING PERMISSION, AND 2) EXPIRED PLANNING PERMISONS FOR OFFICE THAT ARE STILL DEEMED TO BE APPROPRIATE FOR OFFICE DELIVERY; AND 1)3) OR WERE PREVIOUSLY ARE ALLOCATED FOR USES INCLUDING OFFICE IN THE UNITARY DEVELOPMENT PLAN, AS IDENTIFIED OFFICE SITES. 2)4) THESE CATEGORIES OF IDENTIFIED OFFICE SITES CONTRIBUTE TO THE TARGET FOR OFFICE USE SET OUT IN CORE STRATEGY POLICY SP9. <p>THE UDP SITES THESE ARE SHOWN ON THE POLICIES MAP (FOR MIXED USE OR OFFICE USE) AND DETAILED WITHIN SECTION 3 FOR EACH HOUSING MARKET CHARACTERISTIC AREA.</p> <p>A LIST OF SITES WITH PLANNING PERMISSION / EXPIRED PLANNING PERMISSION CURRENTLY INCLUDED IN THIS CATEGORY ARE LISTED IN ANNEX 2.</p> | <p>Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 14. For clarity, as detailed in EX24 Further Response by the Council to Actions from Stage 1 Hearings, 9th February 2018 , Hearing Day 4 27 October 2017 question 3.</p> |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| 21 | CD1/1a Page 34 | ¶2.85 | Continue ¶2.85 after proposed modification 14 by inserting; “Applications on EO1 sites should have regard to the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.” | Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 15. For clarity, as detailed in EX21 Response by the Council to Actions from Stage 1 Hearings, 14 th December 2017, Hearing Day 2 25 October 2017 question 3. |
| 22 | CD1/1a Page 35 | ¶ 2.88 | Continue ¶2.88 by inserting; “UDP allocations which have not yet been fully developed are to remain as identified allocations within the saved UDP. Policy EG1 lists saved UDP allocations. Sites with planning permission/expired permission are set out in Annex 3. Column 2 in the table of sites within the policy identifies the UDP reference retained, where applicable. Any site requirements identified in the UDP under this reference are also retained, and planning applications should have regard to these.” Amend Policy EG1 as follows: POLICY EG1 – IDENTIFIED SITES FOR GENERAL EMPLOYMENT USE THE SITE ALLOCATIONS PLAN IDENTIFIES THAT THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES OF SITES CONTRIBUTE TO OVERALL SUPPLY SITES WHICH: 1) SITES THAT HAVE EXISTING OR RECENTLY EXPIRED PLANNING PERMISSION, AND | Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 16. For clarity, as detailed in EX24 Further Response by the Council to Actions from Stage 1 Hearings, 9 th February 2018, Hearing Day 4 27 October 2017 question 3. |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|
| | | | <p>2) EXPIRED PLANNING PERMISONS FOR USES INCLUDING GENERAL EMPLOYMENT THAT ARE STILL DEEMED TO BE APPROPRIATE FOR GENERAL EMPLOYMENT DELIVERY; AND</p> <p>1)3) OR WERE PREVIOUSLY ARE ALLOCATED FOR USES INCLUDING GENERAL EMPLOYMENT IN THE UNITARY DEVELOPMENT PLAN, AS IDENTIFIED GENERAL EMPLOYMENT SITES.</p> <p>2)4) THESE CATEGORIES OF IDENTIFIED GENERAL EMPLOYMENT SITES CONTRIBUTE TO THE TARGET FOR GENERAL EMPLOYMENT USE SET OUT IN CORE STRATEGY POLICY SP9.</p> <p>THE UDP SITES THESE ARE SHOWN ON THE POLICIES MAP (FOR MIXED USE OR OFFICE USE) AND DETAILED WITHIN SECTION 3 FOR EACH HOUSING MARKET CHARACTERISTIC AREA.</p> <p>A LIST OF SITES WITH PLANNING PERMISSION / EXPIRED PLANNING PERMISSION CURRENTLY INCLUDED IN THIS CATEGORY ARE LISTED IN ANNEX 3.</p> | |
| 23 | CD1/1a Page 35 | ¶2.88 | <p>Continue ¶2.88 after proposed modification 16 by inserting;</p> <p>“Applicants for sites which are unimplemented allocations in the Leeds Unitary Development Plan (UDP) should have regard to the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.”</p> | <p>Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 17.</p> <p>For clarity, as detailed in EX21 Response by the Council to Actions from Stage 1 Hearings, 14th December 2017, Hearing Day 2 25 October 2017 question 3.</p> |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|
| 24 | CD1/1a Page 36 | ¶2.91 | Delete ¶2.91 and Policy EG3 | To reflect lack of justification for a stand alone policy on the employment site at the airport. Reflecting Inspector's Post hearing Note (Stage 1) Policy EG3. |
| 25 | CD1/1a Page 38 | ¶2.98 Green Space Overview | Continue ¶2.98 by inserting: "Green space sites may include ancillary non green space uses such as car parks, or school buildings where they are linked to the overall dominant green space designation and aid the function of the site as green space. Proposals for development on sites will be considered against the impact of the proposal on the integrity and function of the green space". | Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 20. For clarity, as detailed in EX21 Response by the Council to Actions from Stage 1 Hearings, 14th December 2017, Day 1 24 October 2017 question 6. |
| 26 | CD1/1a Page 39 | ¶2.100 Green Space Overview | Continue ¶ 2.100 by inserting: "Where opportunities arise for the provision of new green space, priority should be given to addressing identified deficiencies in green space typologies in the area. Decision makers should also consider the provisions of any made Neighbourhood Plan covering the new green space site and be guided by the policies, projects and evidence of local needs and views contained in the made Neighbourhood Plan and accompanying evidence base." | For clarity MM previously detailed in EX9b as MM21 and in EX21. Response to Actions from Stage 1 Hearings, 14th December 2017, Day 1 24 October 2017 question 8. |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|-------------------------------|---|--|--|
| 27 | CD1/1b Page 48 | Section 3: Aireborough HG2-2 Wills Gill | <p>Revise the “Highway Access to Site” site requirement to:</p> <p>”The site should be accessed directly from Queensway. Provision of a vehicular and pedestrian link to site HG2-3 should be made to improve access options for both sites.”</p> <p>Revise the Conservation Area site requirement to read:</p> <p>“This site affects the setting of the Guiseley Conservation Area. Any development should preserve or enhance the character or appearance of the Conservation Area. A significant buffer is required on the western part of the site to preserve or enhance the character of adjacent surviving medieval field systems and views of St Oswalds Church. This should be a natural form rather than an overtly domesticated or managed space. A landscaped buffer will also be required between the new housing development and this open space. Further guidance on these requirements is provided in the Heritage Background Paper.”</p> | Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 22. Correction to reflect the wording in the Heritage Background Paper. |
| 28 | CD1/1b Pages 49 and 50 | Section 3: Aireborough HG2-3 Shaw Lane, Guiseley and Banksfield Mount, Yeadon | <p>Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements:</p> <p>HG2-3 Shaw Lane, Guiseley and Banksfield Mount, Yeadon</p> | To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector’s Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land. |
| 29 | CD1/1b Pages 53 and 54 and 71 | Section 3: Aireborough HG2-5 Land at Coach Road, Guiseley ¶3.1.11 and | <p>Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements:</p> <p>HG2-5 Land at Coach Road, Guiseley</p> <p>Amend Aireborough, paragraph 3.1.11, Policy HG4 as follows:</p> <p>“Six Five housing allocations have easy access to Local Centres in Aireborough...” and delete</p> | To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector’s Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|---------------------------|---|--|--|
| | | ¶3.1.12 Policy HG4 | HG2-5 Coach Road/Park Road, Guiseley from Policy HG4 Amend paragraph 3.1.12 last sentence as follows: In Aireborough there is one are no sites where part of the site is to be retained for a school. This site is: HG2-5 Coach Road/Park Road, Guiseley | unnecessary release of Green Belt land. |
| 30 | CD1/1b Page 62 | Section 3: Aireborough HG2-9 Victoria Avenue | Amend the wording of the site requirement relating to noise mitigation to delete the words ' Consideration should be given at the planning application stage ' and insert ' Aircraft noise mitigation is required , (particularly in bedrooms), for example by means of enhanced glazing for habitable rooms, alternative means of ventilation, and an enhanced roof specification as appropriate, such that the internal noise standards of BS 8233 can be achieved.' | Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 24. For clarity and effectiveness as detailed in M/7/1a, to make it clear that this is a requirement. |
| 31 | CD1/1b Pages 63 and 64 | Section 3: Aireborough HG2-10 Gill Lane, Yeadon | Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: HG2-10 Gill Lane, Yeadon | To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land. |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|------------------------|---|---|--|
| 32 | CD1/1b Pages 67 and 68 | Section 3: Aireborough HG2-10 Gill Lane, Yeadon | Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: HG2-12 Woodlands Drive, Rawdon | To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land. |

| 33 | CD1/1b Page 71 | Section 3: Aireborough ¶ 3.1.10 Policy HG3 | <p>Amend ¶3.1.10 as follows:</p> <p>Section 2, paragraph 2.60 explains the need to designate sites as safeguarded land – a reserve of potential sites for longer term development post 2028. There are no safeguarded land designations in Aireborough.</p> <p>Delete Aireborough Policy HG3:</p> <p>POLICY HG3 – SAFEGUARDED LAND</p> <p>THE SITE ALLOCATIONS PLAN DESIGNATES SITES TO BE SAFEGUARDED FROM DEVELOPMENT FOR THE PLAN PERIOD (TO 2028) TO PROVIDE A RESERVE OF POTENTIAL SITES FOR LONGER TERM DEVELOPMENT POST 2028 AND PROTECT THE GREEN BELT. THESE ARE SHOWN ON THE POLICIES MAP. IN AIREBOROUGH THE SITES DESIGNATED AS SAFEGUARDED LAND ARE:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="611 724 1532 1155"> <thead> <tr> <th>Plan-Ref</th> <th>Address</th> <th>Area ha</th> <th>Capacity</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>HG3-1</td> <td>Ings Lane, Guiseley</td> <td>4.3</td> <td>114</td> </tr> <tr> <td>HG3-2</td> <td>Land to west of Knott Lane, Rawdon</td> <td>3.1</td> <td>81</td> </tr> <tr> <td>HG3-3</td> <td>Land at Rawdon, Leeds</td> <td>1</td> <td>35</td> </tr> <tr> <td>HG3-4</td> <td>Layton Wood Rawdon</td> <td>4.7</td> <td>130</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Safeguarded land total:</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | Plan-Ref | Address | Area ha | Capacity | HG3-1 | Ings Lane, Guiseley | 4.3 | 114 | HG3-2 | Land to west of Knott Lane, Rawdon | 3.1 | 81 | HG3-3 | Land at Rawdon, Leeds | 1 | 35 | HG3-4 | Layton Wood Rawdon | 4.7 | 130 | Safeguarded land total: | | | | To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land. |
|--------------------------------|---|---|---|----------|---------|---------|----------|-------|---------------------|-----|-----|-------|---|----------------|---------------|-------|-----------------------|---|----|-------|--------------------|-----|-----|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Plan-Ref | Address | Area ha | Capacity | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HG3-1 | Ings Lane, Guiseley | 4.3 | 114 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HG3-2 | Land to west of Knott Lane, Rawdon | 3.1 | 81 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HG3-3 | Land at Rawdon, Leeds | 1 | 35 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HG3-4 | Layton Wood Rawdon | 4.7 | 130 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Safeguarded land total: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|
| 34 | CD1/1b Page 75 | ¶3.1.18 | <p>Amend ¶3.1.18 as follows:</p> <p>Leeds Bradford Airport (LBIA) – Employment Hub</p> <p>It should be noted that provision already exists for development of a variety of airport related facilities within the Airport Operational Land Boundary (AOLB), which is designated under Saved Policy T30A of the UDP. Policy T30A lists the uses which may be developed in principle within the AOLB, subject also to Core Strategy Spatial Policy 12. In reflecting the opportunity to contribute to local general employment land requirements and to recognise the strategic economic role of Leeds Bradford International Airport (LBIA) for Leeds and the City Region, 36.23ha of land at LBIA is allocated as EG2-24 an Employment Hub, subject to Spatial Policy 12 of the Core Strategy and the following policy requirements. Detailed guidance on how airport growth is managed in the context of Policies T30A, SP12 and EG3, including the Employment Hub, will be set out in a LBIA Supplementary Planning Document which will cover the area of the Airport Operational Land Boundary, the Employment Hub, the UDP employment allocations, existing industrial properties and other associated land. This will be draw up with involvement of landowners and other key stakeholders.</p> | To reflect consequential changes arising from the deletion of Policy EG3 as noted in the Inspector's Post hearing Note (Stage 1) Policy EG3. |
| 35 | CD1/1b Page 75 | Policy EG2 | <p>Delete sentence "There are no general employment allocations (Policy EG2) in Aireborough" and insert Policy EG2:</p> <p>POLICY EG2 – GENERAL EMPLOYMENT ALLOCATIONS, OR MIXED USE ALLOCATIONS WHICH INCLUDE GENERAL EMPLOYMENT USE.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) THE SITE ALLOCATIONS PLAN ALLOCATES SITES FOR GENERAL EMPLOYMENT OR MIXED USE INCLUDING GENERAL EMPLOYMENT IN ACCORDANCE WITH CORE STRATEGY POLICY SP9. 2) ANY SPECIFIC SITE REQUIREMENTS ARE DETAILED UNDER THE ALLOCATION CONCERNED. | To reflect consequential changes arising from the deletion of Policy EG3 as noted in the Inspector's Post hearing Note (Stage 1) Policy EG3. |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason | | | | | | |
|----------------|--|--|--|--|---------|---------------|--------|--|-------|--|
| | | | <p>THESE ALLOCATIONS ARE SHOWN ON THE POLICIES MAP. IN AIREBOROUGH THESE ALLOCATIONS ARE:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="533 427 1601 542"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="533 427 734 467">Plan Ref</th> <th data-bbox="734 427 1314 467">Address</th> <th data-bbox="1314 427 1601 467">Capacity (Ha)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="533 467 734 542">EG2-24</td> <td data-bbox="734 467 1314 542">Land at Carlton Moor, Leeds Bradford Airport</td> <td data-bbox="1314 467 1601 542">36.23</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Allocated for employment total: 36.23 ha</p> | Plan Ref | Address | Capacity (Ha) | EG2-24 | Land at Carlton Moor, Leeds Bradford Airport | 36.23 | |
| Plan Ref | Address | Capacity (Ha) | | | | | | | | |
| EG2-24 | Land at Carlton Moor, Leeds Bradford Airport | 36.23 | | | | | | | | |
| 36 | CD1/1b Page 77 | EG2-24 Land at Carlton Moor, Leeds Bradford Airport | <p>Revise former EG3 site as general employment allocation under Policy EG2 (as EG2-24) alongside a site schedule, site plan and site requirements as follows:</p> <p>A development brief will be required for the comprehensive development of this site, which has regard to: the overall layout, overall design and landscaping, land uses and phasing, linked to the provision of necessary infrastructure, including land to accommodate the proposed A65-A658 link road. Development of the site should not prejudice the development of the wider area adjacent to the airport.</p> <p>Public Transport Access:</p> <p>A surface access and car parking strategy will be required, incorporating major highways and public transport improvements, with identified funding and trigger points. The site layout must accommodate through routes for public transport and take account of wider strategic proposals including the Airport Link Road and Airport Parkway Station.</p> <p>Local Highway Network:</p> <p>The detailed transport assessment and surface access and car parking strategy will assess the impact of the proposal on the local highway network and identify any mitigation that may be required (including a potential contribution to the Airport Link Road). Measures may be required to limit the impact upon local minor roads and traffic impact on the major road network.</p> <p>Highway Access to Site:</p> | To provide clarity on the development requirements of this employment site and remove reference to an SPD. | | | | | | |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|---------------------|--|---|---|
| | | | <p>Access can be taken from suitably designed junctions on Whitehouse Lane. The development brief and application must accommodate the potential for future access to the Airport Link Road. This will be subject to the outcome of a detailed transport assessment.</p> <p>Ecology:</p> <p>The comprehensive development brief for the site should be informed by the findings of appropriate ecology surveys and landscape appraisal. Subject to the findings of this work, and where appropriate, mitigation measures will be provided.</p> | |
| 37 | CD1/1b Page 78 | Section 3 ¶3.1.21 | <p>Add new ¶3.1.21 as follows:</p> <p>“The Habitat’s Regulations Assessment has concluded that measures will be required regarding the provision and enhancement of green spaces within the HMCA so as to help avoid visitor pressure on the South Pennine Moors SPA/SAC. The Council will monitor these through monitoring indicator 24 of the Council’s Monitoring Framework which supports preparation of the Authority Monitoring Report. This will quantify the delivery of green space and green infrastructure delivered in the area along with the amount of commuted sums collected and spent on space projects. Moreover, for the purposes of monitoring this measure the AMR will also report on specific improvements to green spaces in this HMCA, which arise as a result of the North West Leeds Green Gateways and Country Park project.”</p> | To reflect conclusions within the Habitats Regulations Assessment. |
| 38 | CD1/1c Page 85 | Section 3: City Centre ¶3.2.6 MX1-9 30 Sovereign Street | Amend Policy HG1 to delete site MX1-9 - 30 Sovereign Street. | New modification in response to Inspectors Actions EX52 (week commencing 16 th July, question 18). As detailed in Update of EX2C availability for residential development is now |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | unlikely in the Plan period. |
| 39 | CD1/1c Page 87 | Section 3: City Centre ¶3.2.7 MX2-30 Water Lane Railway Triangle | Amend Policy HG2 as follows: Delete MX2-30 Water Lane Railway Triangle, capacity 171 | New modification detailed at the hearings. Access to the site compromised by Flood Alleviation Scheme wall. Achieving suitable access will no longer be possible or viable. |
| 40 | CD1/1c Page 10 3 | HG2-208 Globe Quay, Globe Road, Holbeck | Amend wording of the Listed Buildings Site Requirement to state: “The site includes a Listed Building and there are several others in its vicinity . Any development should preserve the special architectural or historic interest of Listed Buildings and their setting.” Add flood risk site requirement to state: ‘Flood Risk: The site, or part of the site is located within Flood Zone 3. Flood risk mitigation measures are set out in the Council’s “Flood Risk Exception Test” document (available on the Council’s site allocations plan web-site) and site specific flood risk assessment should be applied. | Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 30. Site Requirement wording as suggested by Historic England To correct omission. This modification supercedes modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 29. |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|---------------------|---|---|---|
| 41 | CD1/1c Page10 5 | HG2-209 The Faversham, Springfield Mount | Amend wording of the Listed Buildings Site Requirement to state: “The site includes a Listed Building. There is a Listed Building adjacent to this site. Any development should preserve the special architectural or historic interest of Listed Buildings and their setting” | Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 31. Site Requirement wording as suggested by Historic England |
| 42 | CD1/1c Page10 7 | MX2-15 LGI, Great George Street | Remove last sentence from Conservation Area site requirement and move under the heading ‘Heritage’, so Heritage site requirement will read: “The northern part of the site contains some non-designated heritage assets. Proposals should respect the setting of the Listed Building and Conservation Area.” | Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 33. Separate out Conservation Area Site Requirement and Heritage Site Requirement (including new Heritage heading) for clarity and to assist plan users. |
| 43 | CD1/1c Page11 5 | MX2-19 Westgate – Leeds International Swimming Pool | Amend Local Highway Network site requirement to: “The development will make a direct impact on the congested A65 / A58 / Wellington Street gyratory. It will also have a cumulative impact on Armley Gyratory and M621 junction 2. A contribution towards mitigation measures at these locations will be required including any necessary improvement scheme as agreed with Highways England.” | Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 34. Requested change from Highways England in order to clarify contributions. (As detailed in STA2). |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|------------------------|---|---|---|
| 44 | CD1/1c Page 11 7 | MX2-20 Westgate- Brotherton House | Change title of the Conservation Area Site Requirement to ' Heritage ' Heritage Site Requirement to State: "The existing building is viewed as a non-designated heritage asset. As such any development should sustain and enhance the significance of the asset unless the harm can be justified." | Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 35. For clarity, consistency and to assist plan users. |
| 45 | CD1/1c Page 128 | Section 3 City Centre MX2-30 Water Lane Railway Triangle | Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: MX2-30 Water Lane Railway Triangle | New modification detailed at the hearings. Access to the site compromised by Flood Alleviation Scheme rendering site no longer suitable. |
| 46 | CD1/1c Page 13 1 | MX2-32 Water Lane – Westbank | Amend Local Highway Network site requirement last sentence to: "Contributions will also be required towards the City Centre Package transport interventions for Meadow Lane, Victoria Road and Neville Street and to any necessary improvement scheme at M621 junction 3 as agreed with Highways England. " | Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 36. Requested change from Highways England in order to clarify contributions. (As detailed in STA2). |
| 47 | CD1/1c Page 13 5 | MX2-35 Temple Works Mixed Use Site | Amend the wording of the Listed Buildings Site Requirement to state "The site includes and is in the setting of a Listed Building a number of Listed Buildings associated with the Grade I Listed Marshall Mills and there are a number of others in close | Modification previously detailed in EX9b as |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|---------------------|--|--|---|
| | | | <p>proximity. Any development should preserve the special architectural or historic interest of Listed Buildings and their setting.”</p> <p>Add to Site Requirements:</p> <p>“Conservation Area: The site affects the setting of a Conservation Area. Any development should preserve or enhance the character or appearance of the Conservation Area.”</p> | <p>modification 38. Site Requirement wording as suggested by Historic England</p> <p>Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 37. Omission. The site is overlapped by a conservation area, so should be subject to the standard site requirement.</p> |
| 48 | CD1/1c Page140 | Sites for Gypsies and Travellers. HG6-2 Kidacre Street | Amend site schedule to amend number of pitches on Kidacre Street 13 pitches (8 Existing and 5 additional pitches) | Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 39. Following further feasibility work and to help meet the identified need target fully (25 pitches). |
| 49 | CD1/1c Page143 | Section 3 City Centre Employment -ent MX2-30 | Amend Policy EO2 to delete site MX2-30 Water Lane Railway Triangle, capacity 5,000sq m | New modification detailed at the hearings. Access to the site compromised by Flood Alleviation |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|---------------------|--|--|---|
| | | Water Lane Railway Triangle | | Scheme wall. Achieving suitable access will no longer be possible or viable. |
| 50 | CD1/1d Page 160 | HG2-119 Red Hall Offices & Playing Field LS17 | <p>Revise introductory paragraph of site requirements to read:</p> <p>“The Red Hall site will be the subject of a detailed planning brief (this includes HG1-284 the remainder of the Red Hall site) which shall provide further guidance on design, landscaping, heritage and green space. Development and development will be subject to the provision of the section of the East Leeds Orbital Route which will run through the northern part of the site. The site contains a Safeguarded Municipal Waste site in the Natural Resources and Waste DPD, but this is being re-provided as part of the relocation of the Council operation, so will not affect development on the site”.</p> <p>Delete the Natural Resources and Waste DPD site requirement.</p> | Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 40. Clarifies the link between site requirements and the planning brief. Also clarifies that statement made in relation to NRWDPD is not a site requirement. |
| 51 | CD1/1d Page 162 | HG2-120 Manston Lane - former Vickers Tank Factory Site, Cross Gates | <p>Revise Local Highway Network Site Requirement to read:</p> <p>“This site should not be brought forward The development shall not commence until the completion of Manston Lane Link Road (MLLR) is complete. The site will be expected to contribute to the improvement scheme at M1 junction 46, in line with plans from Highways England have a direct impact upon MLLR and M1 Jn 46 and mitigating measures will be required. This may take the form of a contribution towards the cost of future works on MLLR, in line with the proposals for East Leeds Orbital Road, together with a contribution to works at Jn 46 in line with the requirements of Highways England.”</p> | Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 41. To ensure consistency with other site requirements on similar sites. |
| 52 | CD1/1d Page 167 | HG2-123 Colton Road East, Colton | <p>Re-insert ecology site requirement from Publication Draft to read:</p> <p>“Ecology: An Ecological Assessment of the site is required and where appropriate, mitigation measures will need to be provided, including a biodiversity buffer (not private garden space) to protect and link</p> | Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 43. Ecology site |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | hedgerows and young woodland.” | requirement has been erroneously removed from Submission Draft. It was not a proposed change at the pre-submission changes consultation stage and its omission from the Submission Draft was an error. |
| 53 | CD1/1d Page17 3 | MX2-38 Barrowby Lane, Manston | Insert additional Site Requirement: “Scheduled Ancient Monuments (I & II): This area lies close to the site of the former World War I National Filling Factory at Barnbow. This is a Scheduled Monument. Any development should safeguard those elements which contribute to the significance of this area” | For consistency with the approach adopted throughout the Plan. Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 44. This monument was recently scheduled under the Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Areas Act 1979 as amended. |
| 54 | CD1/1e Page18 6 | Section 3: Inner ¶ 3.4.6 HG1-259 - 236 Tong Road | Amend Policy HG1 table to delete site HG1-259 - 236 Tong Road, capacity 9 | New modification in response to Inspectors Actions EX52 (week commencing 16 th July, question 18). |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | As detailed in Update of EX2C, permission implemented for non residential use. |
| 55 | CD1/1e Page 235 and 236 CD1/1e Page 262 | Section 3 Inner HG2-201 Sites Reserved for School Use 3.4.12 | Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: HG2-201 York Road (land south of), East of Pontefract Lane, Richmond Hill Amend sentence as follows: "In the Inner HMCA there is one are two sites where part of a housing site is to be retained for a school. This These sites is are: HG2-201 York Road (Land south of), East of Pontefract Lane, Richmond Hill MX2-9 Kirkstall Road, Kirkstall | As detailed above. Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 47. The landowner has confirmed the site will not be made available for housing. (As also detailed in M/7/1d). Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 48. Site HG2-201 is deleted. |
| 56 | CD1/1e Plans at page 281 | HMCA plan for Inner. Green Space site G1076 Phil May Court | Delete green space site G1076 Phil May Court | Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 50. The northern part of the site has planning permission for development. The remaining area is <0.2ha therefore |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|--------------------------|--|---|---|
| | | | | too small to designate. |
| 57 | CD1/1e Plans at page 281 | HMCA plan for Inner. Green space site G1696 Grafton School | Delete green space site G1696 Grafton School | Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 51. The green space designation extends over car parking. If the green space is revised to exclude these areas, the remaining area is <0.2ha therefore too small to designate. |
| 58 | CD1/1f Page 285 | Section 3: North ¶3.5.6 HG1-68 Silk Mill Drive | Amend Policy HG1 table to delete site HG1-68 Silk Mill Drive, capacity 20 | New modification in response to Inspectors Actions EX52. Following SA of identified sites it is considered that the ecological value could not now be protected if the site were to be developed. |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|-----------------------|---|--|--|
| 59 | CD1/1f Page 286 | Section 3: North ¶3.5.6 HG1-99 Low Fold Garage | Amend Policy HG1 to delete site HG1-99 Low Fold Garage, New Road Side, Horsforth, capacity 5 | New modification in response to Inspectors Actions EX52 (week commencing 16 th July, question 18). As detailed in Update of EX2C, site not now available for housing. |
| 60 | CD1/1f Page 287 | Section 3: North ¶3.5.6 HG1-119 Belmont House | Amend Policy HG1 to delete site HG1-119 Belmont House, Wood Lane, capacity 6 | New modification in response to Inspectors Actions EX52 (week commencing 16 th July, question 18). As detailed in Update of EX2C, landowner has confirmed site not now available. |
| 61 | CD1/1f Page 288 | ¶3.5.6 Policy HG1. HG1-500 Corn Mill Fold, Low Lane, Horsforth | Amend Policy HG1 site HG1-500 to add asterisk to the site reference so flood risk footnote listed applies. | Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 52. To correct omission |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|---------------------|--|---|--|
| 62 | CD1/1f Page 303 | HG2-36 Alwoodley Lane, Alwoodley, LS17 | <p>Amend capacity from 285 to 302</p> <p>Amend wording of Ecology site requirement to delete 'ensure consideration of' and insert 'protect'</p> | <p>Error in calculation of capacity of site in making allowance for area for school provision.</p> <p>Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 53. This modification will compel mitigation measures rather than seek their consideration.</p> |
| 63 | CD1/1f Page 306 | HG2-37 Brownberrie Lane | <p>Amend wording of the Aircraft Noise Mitigation site requirement as follows:</p> <p>Noise Consideration should be given at the planning application stage to aAircraft noise mitigation is required, (particularly in bedrooms), for example by means of enhanced glazing for habitable rooms, alternative means of ventilation, and an enhanced roof specification as appropriate, such that the internal noise standards of BS 8233 can be achieved.</p> <p>Change title of the Conservation Area Site Requirement to Heritage.</p> <p>Heritage Site Requirement to State:</p> <p>"The site affects the setting of a group of Victorian villas that are viewed as is a non-designated heritage assets. Consideration should be given to their setting in any future development."</p> | <p>Modifications previously detailed in EX9b as modification 54 and 55.</p> <p>This modification will compel mitigation measures rather than seek their consideration.</p> |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| 64 | CD1/1f Page 311 and 312 | Section 3: North HG2-41 South of A65 from Horsforth and Rawdon roundabout to crematorium | <p>Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements:</p> <p>HG2-41 South of A65 from Horsforth and Rawdon roundabout to crematorium</p> <p>Amend ¶3.5.12 as follows:</p> <p>Section 2 ¶ 2.64 explains that where land is needed for provision of a school or schools, or extension to a school, these sites are identified on the plan at the end of the section. In North HMCA there are three two sites where part of a housing site is to be retained for a school. These sites are:</p> <p>MX1-3 Abbey Road - Kirkstall Forge HG2-36 Alwoodley Lane, Alwoodley HG2-41 South of A65 from Horsforth & Rawdon roundabout to crematorium</p> | To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land. |
| 65 | CD1/1f Page 316 | HG2-43 Horsforth Campus | <p>Add wording at start of site requirements:</p> <p>"This site is adjacent to identified site HG1-515 Horsforth Campus, therefore it is encouraged that both sites should be developed together and comprehensively."</p> <p>Delete wording of the Highway Access Site Requirement and replace with:</p> <p>"Horsforth roundabout will require alteration to accommodate additional traffic as a result of housing growth. The development will be expected to contribute to the cost of the alterations."</p> | <p>Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 56. For effectiveness. Recent communication with developer/ landowner that they are currently marketing the wider site as one site including site HG1-515 and HG2-43 and intend to develop the site comprehensively.</p> <p>Modification</p> |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|----------------------------------|---|---|---|
| | | | | previously detailed in EX9b as modification 57. For effectiveness and clarity. |
| 66 | CD1/1f Page 322 | HG2-46 Horsforth (former waste water treatment work) | Amend the wording of Ecology Site Requirement to read: “An Ecological Assessment of the site is required and where appropriate, mitigation measures will need to be provided to ensure impacts on wildlife corridor function are addressed including which may include a biodiversity buffer (not private garden space) along the west, south and east boundary.” | New modification in response to Inspectors Actions EX52 (Main Modifications North No.1). Wording amended to offer greater flexibility in relation to mitigation measures to allow for full ecological assessment to be carried out first. |
| 67 | CD1/1f Page 327 and 328 | Section 3: North | Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: HG2-49 Off Weetwood Avenue, Headingley | To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector’s Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land. |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|-----------------------|--|---|--|
| 68 | CD1/1f Page 338 | HG2-236 West Park Centre | Delete flood risk site requirement | Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 58. Factual correction. The site is within flood zone 1 not 3 |
| 69 | CD1/1f Page 345 | HMCA plan for North Green Space site G1111 Cragg Hill Farm | Delete green space site G1111 Cragg Hill Farm | Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 59. Site has an extant permission for sports hall. |
| 70 | CD1/1f Page 345 | Shire View Headingley, G1718 | Designate land at Shire View Headingley as G1718 greenspace | To correct error of omission of site identified through the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Assessment which was included in Publication Draft Plan but omitted from Submission Plan. Included as MM60 in EX9a. |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|-----------------------|--|--|---|
| 71 | CD1/1g Page 348 | Section 3: Outer North East ¶3.6.6 HG1-36 Moor End | Amend table within Policy HG1 as follows: delete site HG1-36 Moor End (7-14), Boston Spa, capacity 9 | New modification in response to Inspectors Actions EX52 (week commencing 16 th July, question 18). As detailed in Update of EX2C, site is now not available. |
| 72 | CD1/1g Page 357 | Section 3: Outer North East HG2- 24 Keswick Lane | Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: HG2-24 Keswick Lane (land to north of), Bardsey | To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land. |
| 73 | CD1/1g Page 358 | Section 3: Outer North East HG2-25 Farfield House, Bramham | Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: HG2-25 Farfield House, Bramham | To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land. |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|---------------------|--|---|--|
| 74 | CD1/1g Page 360 | Section 3: Outer North East HG2-26 Scarcroft Lodge | <p>HG2-26 Scarcroft Lodge, Scarcroft – Amend site requirement as follows:</p> <p>Major developed site within the Green Belt: Development to have no greater impact on the purposes openness of the Green Belt than the existing development. No major increase in the developed proportion of the site. Requirement for the derelict listed buildings to be brought back into use and incorporated into the scheme. Development brief to be agreed prior to development.</p> | New modification in response to Inspectors Post Hearing Note on HG2-26 |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|-----------------------|---|---|--|
| 75 | CD1/1g Page 363 | Section 3: Outer North East HG2- 226 East of Wetherby | <p>Amend site requirements for HG2-226 East of Wetherby, Wetherby as follows:</p> <p>A comprehensive design brief for the development needs to be agreed prior to the development of the site. A pedestrian link shall be provided to the south-west of the site, providing links to Wetherby town centre. A link to the public right of way to the north of the site should also be provided. The design brief should show the retention of key landscape features such as the avenue of trees and areas of woodland within the site as well as retain key positively address all of the individual site requirements listed below.</p> <p>• Highway Access to Site:</p> <p>Access points must be created onto York Road and Racecourse Approach B1224, possibly requiring widening for ghost island junctions. The access points will need to be linked within the site. Footway and cycletrack improvements will be required along both Highway quality pedestrian and cycle routes are to be provided within the site. A pedestrian and cycle link to York Road shall be provided to the south-west of the site, providing safe, practical all year round links to Wetherby town centre from the new housing. These links should involve improvements to Bridleway No.7 and Footpath No.8. In addition a link to the public right of way and A1(M) junction 46 to the north-west of the site should also be provided and along the northern flank of York Road between Racecourse Approach and Bridleway No.7.</p> <p>• Local Highway Network:</p> <p>This site will have a significant impact on the surrounding strategic and local road network. A comprehensive transport planning exercise will need to confirm the details of the road network and public transport enhancements required. Mitigation works should be carried out in accordance with the findings of the assessment work. In addition development of the site will direct and significant impact on the surrounding road network and will require substantial improvements to both the local and strategic highway networks. This is likely to include mitigating measures at A1(M) Jn 46 as agreed with Highways England. have a cumulative impact upon junctions within Wetherby and a contribution will be required towards mitigation works at the Linton Road and Crossley St junctions with the A661.</p> | <p>For clarity so as to ensure that site requirements are understood.</p> <p>For effectiveness. New modification in response to Inspectors Actions EX52 (Main Modifications Outer North East No. 6).</p> |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|---|---|--|--|---------|---------|----------|-------|-------------------|-----|-----|------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|-------|-----------------------|-----|-----|-------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|--------|--|-----|----|--------|---|-----|----|--|
| 76 | CD1/1g | Section 3: Outer North East | Delete MX2-39 Land at Parlington. | To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 77 | CD1/1g Page 372 | Section 3: Outer North East ¶ 3.6.10 | Amend Policy HG3 as follows: ...THESE ARE SHOWN ON THE POLICIES MAP. IN OUTER NORTH EAST THE SITES DESIGNATED AS SAFEGUARDED LAND ARE: <table border="1" data-bbox="573 794 1570 1355"> <thead> <tr> <th>Plan Ref</th> <th>Address</th> <th>Area ha</th> <th>Capacity</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>HG3-7</td> <td>The Ridge, Linton</td> <td>4.1</td> <td>100</td> </tr> <tr> <td>HG3-8</td> <td>Leeds Road Collingham</td> <td>6.5</td> <td>100</td> </tr> <tr> <td>HG3-9</td> <td>West Park, Boston Spa</td> <td>4.1</td> <td>110</td> </tr> <tr> <td>HG3-10</td> <td>Grove Road, Boston Spa</td> <td>3.9</td> <td>103</td> </tr> <tr> <td>HG3-11</td> <td>Chapel Lane (land to the east of), Clifford LS23</td> <td>1.6</td> <td>36</td> </tr> <tr> <td>HG3-12</td> <td>Wood Lane (land off), and east of the former railway, Scholes</td> <td>1.9</td> <td>60</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | Plan Ref | Address | Area ha | Capacity | HG3-7 | The Ridge, Linton | 4.1 | 100 | HG3-8 | Leeds Road Collingham | 6.5 | 100 | HG3-9 | West Park, Boston Spa | 4.1 | 110 | HG3-10 | Grove Road, Boston Spa | 3.9 | 103 | HG3-11 | Chapel Lane (land to the east of), Clifford LS23 | 1.6 | 36 | HG3-12 | Wood Lane (land off), and east of the former railway, Scholes | 1.9 | 60 | New modification to update the Plan to delete HG3 safeguarded sites and insert them into Policy HG1, as these sites have subsequently received planning permission at appeal, as detailed in EX8a (Appendix 3) |
| Plan Ref | Address | Area ha | Capacity | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HG3-7 | The Ridge, Linton | 4.1 | 100 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HG3-8 | Leeds Road Collingham | 6.5 | 100 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HG3-9 | West Park, Boston Spa | 4.1 | 110 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HG3-10 | Grove Road, Boston Spa | 3.9 | 103 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HG3-11 | Chapel Lane (land to the east of), Clifford LS23 | 1.6 | 36 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HG3-12 | Wood Lane (land off), and east of the former railway, Scholes | 1.9 | 60 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | | | | Reason |
|----------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|-------------------|------|-----------------------|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | HG3-13 | Scholes (east of) | 32.1 | 850 | |
| | | | Safeguarded land total | | | 1,3591,156 | |
| 78 | CD1/1h Page 384 and 385 | Section 3: Outer North West | Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: HG2-15 Green Acres and Equestrian Centre Moor Road, Bramhope | | | | To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land. |
| 79 | CD1/1h Page 386 and 387 | Section 3: Outer North West | Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: HG2-16 Creskeld Lane, Bramhope – land to rear of no.45 | | | | To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land. |
| 80 | CD1/1h Page 390 | HG2-18 Church Lane Adel | Amend capacity from 87 to 104 | | | | Error in calculation of capacity of site in making allowance for area for school provision. |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|----------------------------------|---|--|--|
| 81 | CD1/1h Page 396 | Section 3: Outer North West ¶ 3.7.10 | Amend ¶3.7.10, Policy HG3 as follows: HG3-5 Old Pool Bank (land at), Pool in Wharfedale, Otley 23.411.07 540 260 Safeguarded land total: 540 260 | |
| 82 | CD1/1h Page 405 | Section ¶3.7.21 | Add new ¶3.7.21 as follows: "The Habitat's Regulations Assessment has concluded that measures will be required regarding the provision and enhancement of green spaces within the HMCA so as to help avoid visitor pressure on the South Pennine Moors SPA/SAC. The Council will monitor these through monitoring indicator 24 of the Council's Monitoring Framework which supports preparation of the Authority Monitoring Report. This will quantify the delivery of green space and green infrastructure delivered in the area along with the amount of commuted sums collected and spent on space projects. Moreover, for the purposes of monitoring this measure the AMR will also report on specific improvements to green spaces in this HMCA, which arise as a result of the North West Leeds Green Gateways and Country Park project." | To reflect conclusions within the Habitats Regulations Assessment. |
| 83 | CD1/1i Page 408 | Section 3: Outer South ¶ 3.8.6 | Amend Policy HG1 to delete site HG1-404 Marsh Street, Rothwell, capacity 6 | New modification in response to Inspectors Actions EX52 (week commencing 16 th July, question 18). As detailed in Update of EX2C, permission implemented for non-residential use. |
| 84 | CD1/1i Page 412 and 413 | Section 3: Outer South | Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: HG2-173 Haighside, Rothwell | To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| | | | | Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land. |
| 85 | CD1/1i Page 417 | Section 3: Outer South HG2-175 | Amend the wording of the Ecology Site requirement to read: “An Ecological Assessment of the site is required and, where appropriate, mitigation measures will need to be provided, which may include including provision of a biodiversity buffer (not private garden space) adjacent to the northern boundary with Rothwell Country Park.” | New modification in response to Inspectors Actions EX52 (Main Modifications Outer South No.1) Wording amended to offer greater flexibility in relation to mitigation measures to allow for full ecological assessment to be carried out first. |
| 86 | CD1/1i Page 424 and 425 | | Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: HG2-179 Fleet Lane/Eshald Lane (Land at), Oulton | New modification in response to Inspectors Actions EX52 (week commencing 30 July Outer South question 1) Following update from HS2 Ltd, confirming that the whole site is required during the |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | construction of HS2 and is proposed as woodland thereafter. |
| 87 | CD1/1i Page 426 | HG2-180 Fleet Lane and Methley Lane, Oulton | Amend capacity from 322 to 339 | Error in calculation of capacity of site in making allowance for area for school provision. |
| 88 | CD1/1i Page 428 and 429 | Section 3: Outer South HG2-181 Land at Leadwell Lane, Robin Hood | Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: HG2-181 Land at Leadwell Lane, Robin Hood | To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land. |
| 89 | CD1/1i Page 434 | Section 3: Outer South HG2-184 Westgate Lane, Lofthouse | Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: HG2-184 Westgate Lane, Lofthouse | To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land. |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|-------------------------|---|---|---|
| 90 | CD1/1i Page 435 and 436 | Section 3: Outer South HG2-185 Church Farm, Lofthouse | Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: HG2-185 Church Farm, Lofthouse | To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land. |
| 91 | CD1/1i Page 438 | Section 3: Outer South HG2-186 Main Street, Hunts Farm, Methley | Change title of the Conservation Area Site Requirement to Heritage Heritage Site Requirement to State: "The site includes, and affects the setting of, historic buildings that are viewed as non-designated heritage assets, including the historic farmhouse. Any development should preserve or enhance the significance of the assets, including the contribution made by their setting. Strong justification would be required for the demolition, rather than conversion, of such assets." | Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 62. For clarity, consistency and to assist plan users |
| 92 | CD1/1i Page 439 | MX2-14 Aberford Road (77/79), Oulton | Amend capacity from 50 to 25 | Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 63. Factual correction. The previous capacity was for the whole site area and did not allow for mixed use. (As also detailed in M/7/1h). |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|---|--|--|---------|---------|----------|--------|--|-----|-----|-------------------|---|----------------|---------------|-------------------|---|----------------|---------------|-------------------------------|--|--|---------------------------|--|
| 93 | CD1/1i Page 441 | Section 3: Outer South ¶ 3.8.10 | <p>Amend ¶3.8.10, Policy HG3 as follows:</p> <p>.....IN OUTER SOUTH THE SITES DESIGNATED AS SAFEGUARDED LAND ARE:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Plan Ref</th> <th>Address</th> <th>Area Ha</th> <th>Capacity</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>HG3-26</td> <td>Main Street and Pitfield Road, Carlton</td> <td>4.2</td> <td>115</td> </tr> <tr> <td>HG3-27</td> <td>Church Lane (land south of),- Mickletown</td> <td>2.5</td> <td>55</td> </tr> <tr> <td>HG3-28</td> <td>Pinfold Lane (land west of),- Mickletown</td> <td>2.2</td> <td>50</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3" style="text-align: right;">Safeguarded Land total</td> <td>220 115</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | Plan Ref | Address | Area Ha | Capacity | HG3-26 | Main Street and Pitfield Road, Carlton | 4.2 | 115 | HG3-27 | Church Lane (land south of),- Mickletown | 2.5 | 55 | HG3-28 | Pinfold Lane (land west of),- Mickletown | 2.2 | 50 | Safeguarded Land total | | | 220 115 | New modification to update the Plan to delete proposed HG3 safeguarded sites which are to be released from Green Belt as a result of needing to plan to 2023 only. |
| Plan Ref | Address | Area Ha | Capacity | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HG3-26 | Main Street and Pitfield Road, Carlton | 4.2 | 115 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HG3-27 | Church Lane (land south of),- Mickletown | 2.5 | 55 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HG3-28 | Pinfold Lane (land west of),- Mickletown | 2.2 | 50 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Safeguarded Land total | | | 220 115 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 94 | CD1/1i Page 441 | Section 3: Outer South ¶ 3.8.12 | <p>Delete last part of ¶3.8.12 and Policy HG5 as follows:</p> <p>In addition some sites that are not allocated for housing also need to be reserved for future school use. Policy HG5 applies to these sites:</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin-top: 10px;"> <p>POLICY HG5:- THE SITE ALLOCATIONS PLAN ALLOCATES SITES FOR SCHOOL USE. THESE ARE SHOWN ON THE POLICIES MAP. IN THE OUTER SOUTH HMCA THIS SITE IS: HG5-7 Hope Farm, Wakefield Road, Robin Hood</p> </div> | School site not now justified as a result of allocations being deleted from the Green Belt | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 95 | CD1/1i Page 443 | Employment Proposals for Outer South ¶ 3.8.18 | <p>Delete sentence 'There are no proposed allocations for general employment in Outer South- (policy EG2)' and insert</p> <p>POLICY EG2 – GENERAL EMPLOYMENT ALLOCATIONS, OR MIXED USE ALLOCATIONS WHICH INCLUDE GENERAL EMPLOYMENT USE.</p> <p>1) THE SITE ALLOCATIONS PLAN ALLOCATES SITES FOR GENERAL EMPLOYMENT</p> | Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 64. Factual correction. The site was listed | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|----------------------------|--|---|---|
| | | | <p>OR MIXED USE INCLUDING GENERAL EMPLOYMENT IN ACCORDANCE WITH CORE STRATEGY POLICY SP9.</p> <p>2) ANY SPECIFIC SITE REQUIREMENTS ARE DETAILED UNDER THE ALLOCATION CONCERNED IN SECTION 3.</p> <p>THESE ALLOCATIONS ARE SHOWN ON THE POLICIES MAP. IN OUTER SOUTH THESE ALLOCATIONS ARE:</p> <p>Plan Ref: MX2-14 Address: Aberford Road (77/79), Oulton Area: 1.33 ha Capacity: 1.33 (ha) Allocated for general employment total 1.33</p> | solely under Policy HG2. As a mixed use site Policy EG2 also applies. (As also detailed in M/7/ih) |
| 96 | CD1/1i Page 445 | HMCA plan for Outer South Green space site G870 Rothwell Pastures Part 2 | Delete green space site G870 Rothwell Pastures Part 2 | Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 65. The site has planning permission for agriculture/equestrian uses which are not green space |
| 97 | CD1/1j Page 448 | HG1-317 | Amend Policy HG1 to delete site: HG1-317 2 Brigshaw Lane, Allerton Bywater, capacity 8 | For effectiveness to reflect that the site is now considered to be undeliverable by the landowner. |
| 98 | CD1/1j Page 450 and 451 | HG2-124 Stourton Grange Farm South, Selby Road, | Delete site HG2-124 Stourton Grange Farm | To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|----------------------------|---|---|--|
| | | Ridge Road, Garforth | | unnecessary release of Green Belt land. |
| 99 | CD1/1j Page 456 and 157 | HG2-127 Newtown Farm, Micklefield | Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: HG2-127 Newtown Farm, Micklefield | To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land. |
| 100 | CD1/1j Page 458 and 459 | HG2-128 Selby Road/Leeds Road, Kippax | Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: HG2-128 Selby Road/Leeds Road, Kippax | To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land. |
| 101 | CD1/1j Page 464 | HG2-131 Whitehouse Lane, Great Preston | Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: HG2-131 Whitehouse Lane, Great Preston | To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--|---------|---------|----------|--------|----------------------|----|-----|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|----------------|--------|---|------|-----|-------------------------------|--|--|---------------------------|--|
| | | | | release of Green Belt land. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 102 | CD1/1j Page 465 and 466 | HG2-132 Brigshaw Lane (land to east of), Kippax | <p>Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements:</p> <p>HG2-132 Brigshaw Lane (land to east of), Kippax</p> <p>Amend ¶3.9.11 and Policy HG4 as follows:</p> <p>“ThreeTwo housing allocations have easy access to Local Centres in Outer South East...”</p> <p>and</p> <p>Delete ‘HG2-132 Brigshaw Lane (land to east of), Kippax’ from Policy HG4.</p> | To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector’s Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 103 | CD1/1j Page 475 | Section 3: Outer South East ¶ 3.9.10 | <p>Amend ¶3.9.10, table within Policy HG3, as follows:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Plan Ref</th> <th>Address</th> <th>Area ha</th> <th>Capacity</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>HG3-18</td> <td>Selby Road, Garforth</td> <td>18</td> <td>500</td> </tr> <tr> <td>HG3-19</td> <td>Moorgate, Kippax</td> <td>40.4</td> <td>166</td> </tr> <tr> <td>HG3-20</td> <td>Park Lane /Doctor’s Lane (land off) Allerton Bywater</td> <td>40.6</td> <td>950</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3" style="text-align: right;">Safeguarded land total</td> <td>1,616 1,450</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | Plan Ref | Address | Area ha | Capacity | HG3-18 | Selby Road, Garforth | 18 | 500 | HG3-19 | Moorgate, Kippax | 40.4 | 166 | HG3-20 | Park Lane /Doctor’s Lane (land off) Allerton Bywater | 40.6 | 950 | Safeguarded land total | | | 1,616 1,450 | New modification to update the Plan to delete HG3 safeguarded sites and insert them into Policy HG1, as these sites have subsequently received planning permission at appeal, as detailed in EX8a (Appendix 3) |
| Plan Ref | Address | Area ha | Capacity | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HG3-18 | Selby Road, Garforth | 18 | 500 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HG3-19 | Moorgate, Kippax | 40.4 | 166 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HG3-20 | Park Lane /Doctor’s Lane (land off) Allerton Bywater | 40.6 | 950 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Safeguarded land total | | | 1,616 1,450 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|---------------------|---|--|---|
| 104 | CDR1/1 j Page 481 | EG1-35 Hawks Park, North Newhold, Aberford Road, Garforth | Policy EG1. Revise capacity of site EG1-35 from 12.99ha to 8.43ha. | To reflect uncertainty regarding the deliverability of the portion of the site to the north of the proposed HS2 line. As detailed in STA13. |
| 105 | CDR1/1 j Page 481 | EG1-36 Hawks Park, North Newhold, Aberford Road, Garforth | Policy EG1. Revise capacity of site EG1-36 from 4.08ha to 1.52ha. | To reflect uncertainty regarding the deliverability of the portion of the site to the north of the proposed HS2 line. As detailed in STA13. |
| 106 | CD1/1k Page 485 | Section 3: Outer South West ¶ 3.10.6 | Amend Policy HG1 to delete site HG1-327 Barkly Road, capacity 25 | New modification in response to Inspectors Actions EX52 (week commencing 16th July, question 18). As detailed in Update of EX2C, site is no longer available for residential use. |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| 107 | CD1/1k Page 486 | Section 3: Outer South West ¶ 3.10.6 | Amend Policy HG1 to delete site HG1-344 Albert Road, Morley, capacity 40 | New modification in response to Inspectors Actions EX52 (week commencing 16 th July, question 18). As detailed in Update of EX2C, site is no longer suitable for residential use. |
| 108 | CD1/1k Page 506 and 507 | Section 3: Outer South West | Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: HG2-144 Westfield Farm, Drighlington | To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land. |
| 109 | CD1/1k Page 508 and 509 | Section 3: Outer South West | Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: HG2-145 Bradford Road/Wakefield Road Gildersome Amend ¶3.10.12 as follows: "Section 2 ¶ 2.64 explains that where land is needed for provision of a school or schools, or extension to a school, these sites are identified on the plan at the end of the section. In Outer South West there are two is one sites where part of a housing site is to be retained for a school. These This sites are: HG2-145 Bradford Road/Wakefield Road Gildersome HG2-150 Churwell (land to the east of) | To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land. To reflect fact that school is proposed to be |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|
| | | | <p>Amend Policy HG5 as follows:</p> <p>POLICY HG5</p> <p>THE SITE ALLOCATIONS PLAN ALLOCATES SITES FOR SCHOOL USE. THESE ARE SHOWN ON THE POLICIES MAP. IN OUTER SOUTH WEST THIS THESE SITES IS ARE:</p> <p>HG5-8 BRADFORD ROAD, EAST ARDSLEY HG5-9 LAND NORTH WEST OF BIRCHFIELD PRIMARY SCHOOL GILDERSOME</p> | delivered on a standalone site rather than as part of an allocation for housing |
| 110 | CD1/1k Page 512 and 513 | Section 3: Outer South West | <p>Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements:</p> <p>HG2-147 Highfield Drive/Harthill Lane (land off), Gildersome</p> | To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land. |
| 111 | CD1/1k Page 514 and 515 | Section 3: Outer South West | <p>Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements:</p> <p>HG2-148 Gelderd Road/M621, Gildersome</p> | To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land. |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|-----------------------|--|---|---|
| | | | | |
| 112 | CD1/1k Page 517 | HG2-149 Lane Side Farm Morley | Insert Education site requirement to state: ‘Education Provision: Part of the site should be retained for provision of a school, unless the school is already delivered on site HG2-150. ‘ | Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 69. The recently approved Lane Side Farm (HG2-149) planning application includes provision of a 2 FE primary. If implemented, this will address the schools requirement proposed on the nearby allocation at East of Churwell (HG2-150). Site requirement to be added for school provision |
| 113 | CD1/1k Page 518 | HG2-150 Churwell (Land to the east of) | Amend capacity from 205 to 223 | Error in calculation of capacity of site in making allowance for area for school provision. |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| 114 | CD1/1k Page 519 | HG2-150 Churwell (Land to the east of) | Education site requirement to be amended so that it reads 'Part of the site should be retained for provision of a school, unless the school is already delivered on site HG2-149. In the event that the school is already delivered, the capacity would be adjusted accordingly. ' | Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 70. In response to the planning permission on HG2-149. See above. |
| 115 | CD1/1k Page 521 | HG2-153 Albert Drive, Morley | <p>Amend the Highways Access and Highway Local Network site requirements:</p> <p>Highways Access to Site:</p> <p>Traffic management measures will be required in the streets to the south and west for should be reviewed on Albert Road, Peel Street and Clough Street and further measures introduced as necessary to the benefit of road safety.</p> <p>Local Highway Network:</p> <p>The proposed development is required to improve pedestrian linkages to Morley railway station upgrading existing definitive footpaths 62 and 128.</p> | New modification in response to Inspectors Actions To provide further information and clarity on the mitigation measures required to address the Highways Access and Local Network concerns. |
| 116 | CD1/1k Page 523 | HG2-155 Joseph Priestley College | <p>Amend Conservation Area site requirement as follows:</p> <p>"The site is within, or affects the setting of a proposed Conservation Area. When adopted, aAny development should preserve or enhance the character or appearance of the Conservation Area, when adopted. The building is identified as a positive historic building in the draft appraisal and is currently viewed as a Non-Designated Heritage Asset. Any development of the site would need to retain the existing building and convert it for residential use in a sensitive way. The loss, rather than conversion of the building, would need strong justification."</p> <p>Add new 'Heritage' site requirement to state: Heritage:</p> | Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 71. For clarity, consistency and to assist plan users. |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|-----------------------|---|--|---|
| | | | The building is identified as a positive historic building in the draft Conservation Area appraisal and is a Non-Designated Heritage Asset. Any development of the site would need to retain the existing building and convert it for residential use in a sensitive way. The loss, rather than conversion of the building, would need robust justification.” | |
| 117 | CD1/1k Page 529 | HG2-158 Tingley Mills, Tingley Common, Morley | <p>Amend Conservation Area site requirement to state: “The site is within, or affects the setting of, a proposed Conservation Area. When adopted, aAny development should preserve or enhance the character or appearance of the Conservation Area, when adopted. The site includes a number of historic buildings that have been identified as positive buildings in the draft appraisal. The buildings are considered to be Non-Designated Heritage Assets and their loss through demolition would require strong justification.”</p> <p>Add new Heritage Site Requirement to state: ‘Heritage: The site includes a number of historic buildings that have been identified as positive buildings in the draft Conservation Area appraisal. The buildings are Non-Designated Heritage Assets and their loss through demolition would require robust justification.”</p> | <p>Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 72.</p> <p>For clarity, consistency and to assist plan users.</p> |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| 118 | CD1/1k, Page 539 | HG2-167 Old Thorpe Lane, Tingley | <p>Amend boundary on plan and capacity from 619 to 207 and area from 28ha to 9.2ha.</p> <p>Delete site requirement relating to New Local Centre</p> <p>Amend Local Highway Network site requirement to delete reference to 'or new link road', as follows:</p> <p>".....The development will be required to fund appropriate mitigation measures in the form of a realigned junction or new link road. There is also.....junction improvements."</p> <p>Delete site requirement relating to Listed Buildings</p> <p>Delete last sentence of ¶3.10.3 as follows:</p> <p>For policies and guidance referring to centre boundaries, Primary Shopping Areas and proposals within protected shopping frontages, please refer to Section 2 of this document. These policies must also be read in conjunction with the Retail and Town Centres section of the Core Strategy. In addition to the designated centres outlined above, the site requirements for the housing site at Land at Old Thorpe Lane, Tingley (HG2-167) set out that a new centre should be delivered as part of this development.</p> | <p>To reflect change in capacity of site. To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land. Site requirement no longer justified as a result of reduction in site size.</p> |
| 119 | CD1/1k, Page 542 | HG2-168 Haigh Wood, Ardsley | <p>Amend wording of Local Highway Network site requirement, as detailed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Local Highway Network: <p>The proposed development will cause a cumulative impact on the congested junction of the A650 with Common Lane. The development will be required to contribute to appropriate mitigation measures in the form of junction capacity improvements. There is also a cumulative impact upon Tingley roundabout.</p> <p>To mitigate this impact a contribution will be required towards any improvements as agreed with Highways England, taking into account the cumulative impact of other allocated sites in the area. The proposed development is also likely to impact on congested parts of the A653 including within the district of Kirklees. Kirklees Metropolitan Council will be consulted on the transport</p> | <p>New modification in response to Inspectors Actions EX52 (week commencing 16th July, question 22). Amended wording to provide further clarity about the need for consultation with Kirklees Metropolitan Council</p> |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|
| | | | <p>implications of any future planning applications on the site. The development will be required to assess impacts taking into account the cumulative impact of other allocated sites in the area and fund appropriate mitigation measures including road and junction improvements.</p> | <p>about the transport implications that planning applications would have upon the Local Highway Network.</p> |
| 120 | CDR1/1k, Page 544 | HG2-169 Haigh Wood, Ardsley | <p>Amend wording of Local Highway Network site requirement, as detailed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Local Highway Network: <p>The proposed development will have a direct impact on the congested junction of the A650 with Common Lane. The development will be required to contribute to appropriate mitigation measures in the form of junction capacity improvements. There is also a cumulative impact upon Tingley roundabout. To mitigate this impact a contribution will be required towards any improvements as agreed with Highways England, taking into account the cumulative impact of other allocated sites in the area. In addition, a cumulative impact is also likely at the A650 / Rein Rd junction and contributions towards mitigating measures will also be required here. The proposed development is also likely to impact on congested parts of the A653 including within the district of Kirklees. Kirklees Metropolitan Council will be consulted on the transport implications of any future planning applications on the site. The development will be required to assess impacts taking into account the cumulative impact of other allocated sites in the area and fund appropriate mitigation measures including road and junction improvements.</p> | <p>New modification in response to Inspectors Actions EX52 (week commencing 16th July, question 22). Amended wording to provide further clarity about the need for consultation with Kirklees Metropolitan Council about the transport implications that planning applications would have upon the Local Highway Network.</p> |
| 121 | CD1/1k Page 545 and 546 | Section 3: Outer South West | <p>Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements:</p> <p>HG2-170 Land off Haigh Moor Road</p> | <p>To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary</p> |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|-----------------|----------------|--------|----------------------------|-----|----|---------|-----------------|------|------|-------------------|---|----------------|----------------|--------|------------------------|-----|----|--------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------|---|
| | | | | release of Green Belt land. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 122 | CD1/1k Page 547 | Section 3: Outer South West | HG2-171 - Amend site capacity from 195 to 35 and area from 8.68 to 1.3 hectares and amend boundary of site. Delete Local Highway Network site requirement. | To reflect change to boundary and capacity and highway requirement no longer justified as a result of change to site size. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 123 | CD1/1k Page 554 | Section 3: Outer South West ¶ 3.10.10 | Amend ¶3.10.10, table within Policy HG3 as follows: <table border="1" data-bbox="533 794 1621 1074"> <tbody> <tr> <td>HG3-21</td> <td>Gelderd Road (land to the north of),- Wortley</td> <td>11.6</td> <td>315</td> </tr> <tr> <td>HG3-22</td> <td>Manor House Farm, Churwell</td> <td>2.9</td> <td>80</td> </tr> <tr> <td>HGF3-23</td> <td>Tingley Station</td> <td>43.1</td> <td>1050</td> </tr> <tr> <td>HG3-24</td> <td>Bradford Road (land off), East Ardsley</td> <td>9.7</td> <td>218</td> </tr> <tr> <td>HG3-25</td> <td>New Lane, East Ardsley</td> <td>3.8</td> <td>90</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: right;">Safeguarded Land total:</td> <td></td> <td>4753 1220</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | HG3-21 | Gelderd Road (land to the north of),- Wortley | 11.6 | 315 | HG3-22 | Manor House Farm, Churwell | 2.9 | 80 | HGF3-23 | Tingley Station | 43.1 | 1050 | HG3-24 | Bradford Road (land off), East Ardsley | 9.7 | 218 | HG3-25 | New Lane, East Ardsley | 3.8 | 90 | Safeguarded Land total: | | | 4753 1220 | Deletion of HG3-24 is new modification to update the Plan to delete HG3 safeguarded sites and insert them into Policy HG1, as these sites have subsequently received planning permission at appeal, as detailed in EX8a (Appendix 3) Deletion of HG3-21 in light of Inspector's Post Hearing Note and plan to 2023 |
| HG3-21 | Gelderd Road (land to the north of),- Wortley | 11.6 | 315 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HG3-22 | Manor House Farm, Churwell | 2.9 | 80 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HGF3-23 | Tingley Station | 43.1 | 1050 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HG3-24 | Bradford Road (land off), East Ardsley | 9.7 | 218 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HG3-25 | New Lane, East Ardsley | 3.8 | 90 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Safeguarded Land total: | | | 4753 1220 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|-----------------------|--|---|--|
| 124 | CD1/1k Page 563 | HG7-1 – West Wood, Dewsbury Road, Tingley | Amend site boundary to exclude areas of flood risk. Amend site area from 0.68ha to 0.39ha | Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 73. To reflect the conclusion of the Flood Risk Sequential Test exercise for the Gypsy and Traveller sites as detailed in HD5. (See plan attached). |
| 125 | CD1/1k | Policy EG1 EG1-48 Opposite Ravell Works, Geldered Road, Wortley | Revise capacity of EG1-48 from 5.02 to 3.19ha | For justification to exclude land which is proposed to be used to extend neighbouring cemetery. |
| 126 | CD1/1k Page 567 | Policy EG1 EG1-55 | Delete EG1-55 Adj Ravenheat Ltd, Chartists Way, Morley | Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 75. Factual correction – UDP E3B:8 was not saved; site is below allocation threshold of 0.2ha. |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|-----------------------|--|---|--|
| | | | | |
| 127 | CD1/1k Page 573 | EG2-19 Land off Topcliffe Lane, Morley and to the North of Capitol Park | <p>Delete Culverts and Canalised Watercourses site requirement</p> <p>Delete Conservation Area site requirement</p> <p>Add new Heritage Site Requirement to state:</p> <p>Heritage: Some buildings at Topcliffe Farm at end of Topcliffe Lane are Non-Designated Heritage Assets based upon the existing buildings' age and local architectural and vernacular character, and their loss through demolition would require justification.</p> | <p>Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 76. The culvert is very small and goes under the road at Topcliffe Lane. There would be no significant benefit from opening it. (As detailed in STA5)</p> <p>Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 77. For clarity, consistency and to assist plan users. (As detailed in STA5)</p> |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|---------------------|--|--|---|
| 128 | CD1/1k Page 574 | EG2-20 Fall Lane, East Ardsley | Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: EG2-20 Fall Lane, East Ardsley 0.59ha | Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 78. The landowner has confirmed the site will not be made available for employment use. |
| 129 | CD1/1k Page 581 | HMCA plan for Outer South West Green space site G655 Main Street (site of old pub) | Delete site G655 Main Street (site of old pub) | Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 79. HG1-389 overlaps G655. The remaining area is <0.2ha therefore too small to designate. (Planning permission granted 31/10/2016 covers G655 entirely.) |
| 130 | CD1/1l Page 584 | Policy HG1 HG1-131 Pollard Lane | Amend capacity from 179 to 120 Amend not started from 59 to 0 | Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 80. Factual correction |
| 131 | CD1/1l Page 585 | Section 3: Outer West ¶ 3.11. 6 | Amend Policy HG1 to delete site HG1-155 Elder Road/Swinnow Road, capacity 25 | New modification in response to Inspectors Actions EX52 (week commencing 16 th |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | | July, question 18). As detailed in Update of EX2C, the owner has confirmed that the site is no longer available for residential use. |
| 132 | CD1/11 Page 585 | Section 3: Outer West ¶ 3.11.6 | Amend Policy HG1 to delete site HG1-157 Elder Road, capacity 22 | New modification in response to Inspectors Actions EX52 (week commencing 16 th July, question 18). As detailed in Update of EX2C, the owner has confirmed that the site is no longer available for residential use. |
| 133 | CD1/11 Page 586 | Section 3: Outer West ¶ 3.11.6 | Amend Policy HG1 to delete site HG1-163 Vernon Place, capacity 8 | New modification in response to Inspectors Actions EX52 (week commencing 16 th July, question 18). As detailed in Update of EX2C, permission implemented for non residential use. |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|----------------------------------|---|--|--|
| 134 | CD1/11 Page 594 | Section 3: Outer West HG2-54 Upper Carr Lane (land off), Calverley | Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: HG2-54 Upper Carr Lane (land off), Calverley | To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land. |
| 135 | CD1/11 Page 595 and 596 | Section 3: Outer West HG2-55 Calverley Lane, Calverley | Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: HG2-55 Calverley Lane, Calverley | To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land. |
| 136 | CD1/11 Page 597 and 598 | Section 3: Outer West HG2-56 Rodley Lane, Calverley Lane, Calverley | Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: HG2-56 Rodley Lane (land at), Calverley Lane, Calverley | To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land. |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|----------------------------|---|---|---|
| 137 | CD1/11 Page 601 and 602 | Section 3: Outer West HG2-59 Land at Rodley Lane | Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: HG2-59 Land at Rodley Lane | To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land. |
| 138 | CD1/11 Page 621 | HG2-72 Land off Tyersal Court, Tyersal | Amend capacity from 40 to 46 | New modification in response to Inspectors Actions EX52 (week commencing 16 th July, question 26.) Error in calculation of capacity of site in making allowance for area for school provision. |
| 139 | CD1/11 Page 629 and 630 | Section 3: Outer West HG2-76 Hough Side Road, Pudsey | Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: HG2-76 Hough Side Road, Pudsey | To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|-------------------------|--|---|--|
| | | | | unnecessary release of Green Belt land. |
| 140 | CD1/11 Page 633 and 634 | Section 3: Outer West HG2-80 Acres Hall Avenue, Pudsey | Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: HG2-80 Acres Hall Avenue, Pudsey | To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land. |
| 141 | CDR1/1 I, Page 644 | HG2-204 Wood Nook, Pudsey | Amend the Highways Access to Site site requirement relating to the existing footpath network link at Site HG2-204 as detailed: Highways Access to Site: The site would need to be linked to the existing footpath network to the northern boundary of the site from New Pudsey Station to the Owlcotes Shopping Centre and to the north-west corner of the site in order to reach local facilities and public transport. | New modification in response to Inspectors Actions EX52 (week commencing 16 July, question 24). To provide clarity as to the footpath network improvements required. |
| 142 | CD1/11 Page 646 | HG2-205 Stonebridge Mills, Farnley | Amend 'Highway Access to Site' site requirement to state: 'Public transport improvements on Stonebridge Lane. Significant alteration to Ring Road roundabout to provide vehicular access to the site unless suitable alternative access to | Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 82. |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|---|---------------------------------|---|---|---------|---------|----------|--------|------------------------------|-----|----|--------|---|------|-----|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------|--|
| | | | <p>Stonebridge Lane can be gained.'</p> <p>Insert Flood Risk site requirement:</p> <p>'A small part of the site is affected by flood risk. A sequential approach should be taken to the layout of the site so that no housing or other more vulnerable development is located in the zone 3 high flood risk part of the site'.</p> | Update following representation received. (As also detailed in M7/ik Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 83. Factual correction, to be consistent with approach to flood risk across the Plan. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 143 | CD1/11, Page 648 | HG2-206 Heights Lane, Armley | <p>Amend the Highways Access to Site site requirement on Site HG2-206 as detailed:</p> <p>Highways Access to Site:</p> <p>Nearside footway required — will affect trees. Review of TRO's and Traffic Management measures. A footway should be provided along the Heights Lane site frontage. The existing traffic calming measure may need alteration to accommodate the site access.</p> | <p>New modification in response to Inspectors Actions EX52 (week commencing 16 July, question 24).</p> <p>To provide clarity as to the footpath network improvements required.</p> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 144 | CD1/11 Page 653 | Section 3: Outer West ¶ 3.11.10 | <p>Amend ¶3.11.10, table within Policy HG3 as follows:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Plan Ref</th> <th>Address</th> <th>Area Ha</th> <th>Capacity</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>HG3-14</td> <td>Rodley (land at), Leeds LS13</td> <td>1.6</td> <td>50</td> </tr> <tr> <td>HG3-15</td> <td>Kirklees Knowl (land at), Bagley Lane, Bagley</td> <td>17.8</td> <td>415</td> </tr> <tr> <td>HG3-16</td> <td>Land off Gamble Lane</td> <td>4.5</td> <td>120</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | Plan Ref | Address | Area Ha | Capacity | HG3-14 | Rodley (land at), Leeds LS13 | 1.6 | 50 | HG3-15 | Kirklees Knowl (land at), Bagley Lane, Bagley | 17.8 | 415 | HG3-16 | Land off Gamble Lane | 4.5 | 120 | Deletion of HG3-17 is new modification to update the Plan to delete HG3 safeguarded sites and insert them into Policy HG1, as these sites have |
| Plan Ref | Address | Area Ha | Capacity | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HG3-14 | Rodley (land at), Leeds LS13 | 1.6 | 50 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HG3-15 | Kirklees Knowl (land at), Bagley Lane, Bagley | 17.8 | 415 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HG3-16 | Land off Gamble Lane | 4.5 | 120 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|----------------------------|---|--|---|----------------------------|-----|-----|--------|----------------------|-----|-----|--|-------------------------|--|---------|---|
| | | | <table border="1"> <tr> <td>HG3-17</td> <td>Low Moor Side, New Farnley</td> <td>5.7</td> <td>130</td> </tr> <tr> <td>HG3-29</td> <td>Land off Gamble Lane</td> <td>7.6</td> <td>200</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Safeguarded Land total:</td> <td></td> <td>915 465</td> </tr> </table> | HG3-17 | Low Moor Side, New Farnley | 5.7 | 130 | HG3-29 | Land off Gamble Lane | 7.6 | 200 | | Safeguarded Land total: | | 915 465 | subsequently received planning permission at appeal, as detailed in EX8a (Appendix 3) |
| HG3-17 | Low Moor Side, New Farnley | 5.7 | 130 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HG3-29 | Land off Gamble Lane | 7.6 | 200 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Safeguarded Land total: | | 915 465 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 145 | CD1/11 Page 659 | HG7-2 – Land on the Corner of Tong Road and Lakeside Road, Wortley | Delete 'Highways' site requirement | Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 84. Site requirement not required or justified following further feasibility work. (As detailed in M8/1) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 146 | CD1/11 Page 669 | Section 3 ¶3.11.21 | <p>Add new ¶3.11.21 as follows:</p> <p>“The Habitat’s Regulations Assessment has concluded that measures will be required regarding the provision and enhancement of green spaces within the HMCA so as to help avoid visitor pressure on the South Pennine Moors SPA/SAC. The Council will monitor these through monitoring indicator 24 of the Council’s Monitoring Framework which supports preparation of the Authority Monitoring Report. This will quantify the delivery of green space and green infrastructure delivered in the area along with the amount of commuted sums collected and spent on space projects. Moreover, for the purposes of monitoring this measure the AMR will also report on specific improvements to green spaces in this HMCA, which arise as a result of the North West Leeds Green Gateways and Country Park project.”</p> | To reflect conclusions within the Habitats Regulations Assessment. | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|---------------------|--|---|--|
| 147 | CD1/11 Page 670 | HMCA plan for Outer West Green space site G1430 Chaucer Avenue (rear of) | Delete site G1430 Chaucer Avenue (rear of) from Outer West site allocations plan. | Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 85. The northern part of the site has planning permission for stables and is not in a green space use. The remaining southern part is under the 0.2ha threshold for designation. |
| 148 | CD1/11 Page 676 | Appendix 1 Schedule of the UDP Saved Policies | Appendix revised and updated. To be submitted separately. | Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 86. Appendix 1 updated to make explicit where saved UDP policies contain site requirements and whether any of these have been superseded as detailed in EX24 Further Response by the Council to Actions from Stage 1 Hearings, 9 February |

| Draft Mod. No. | CD ref and Page No. | Site Ref. / Reference in Plan | Proposed modification | Reason |
|----------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|
| | | | | 2018 Hearing Day 4 27 Oct Q3. |
| 149 | CD1/11 Page 688 | New Appendix 2 to the Plan | Add the Infrastructure Delivery Plan as Appendix 2 to the Plan. | <p>Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 87.</p> <p>As detailed in EX21 Response by the Council to Actions from Stage 1 hearings, 14th December 2017, Hearing Day 2 25 Oct 2017, question 1</p> |

Appendix 1 – Consequential changes to tables as a result of Main Modifications in the schedule above

Modification No. 5

Table 1: Housing Distribution by Housing Market Characteristic Area (HMCA)

| Housing Market Characteristic Area | Core Strategy Housing target up to 2028 | Core Strategy Housing target up to 2023 | Percentage (Core Strategy SP7) | Delivery up to 2028 | | | | | Delivery up to 2023 | | | | Residual Delivery 2023 to 2028 | | |
|------------------------------------|---|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | | | | Existing supply ('Identified sites') | Non Green Belt Allocations | Green Belt Allocations | Total | Performance up to 2028 | Non Green Belt delivery to 2023 | Green Belt delivery up to 2023 | Delivery up to 2023 | Performance up to 2023 | Non Green Belt delivery 2023 to 2028 | Green Belt delivery 2023 to 2028 | Delivery 2023 to 2028 |
| Aireborough | 2,300 | 1,444 | 3 | 965 | 77 | 475 | 1,517 | -783 | 1,042 | 425 | 1,467 | 23 | 0 | 50 | 50 |
| City Centre | 10,200 | 6,781 | 15.5 | 5,259 | 6,379 | 0 | 11,638 | 1,438 | 8,086 | 0 | 8,086 | 1,305 | 3,553 | 0 | 3,553 |
| East Leeds | 11,400 | 7,489 | 17 | 6,133 | 3,311 | 248 | 9,706 | -1,694 | 7,590 | 248 | 7,838 | 349 | 1,869 | 0 | 1,869 |
| Inner Area | 10,000 | 6,569 | 15 | 8,961 | 3,951 | 0 | 12,912 | 2,912 | 6,875 | 0 | 6,875 | 306 | 6,037 | 0 | 6,037 |
| North Leeds | 6,000 | 3,941 | 9 | 4,095 | 484 | 575 | 5,154 | -846 | 3,577 | 548 | 4,125 | 184 | 1,002 | 27 | 1,029 |
| Outer North East | 5,000 | 3,500 | 8 | 1,711 | 1,544 | 892 | 4,147 | -853 | 3,255 | 0 | 3,255 | -245 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Outer North West | 2,000 | 1,314 | 3 | 1,146 | 474 | 87 | 1,707 | -293 | 1,288 | 87 | 1,375 | 61 | 332 | 0 | 332 |
| Outer South | 2,600 | 1,750 | 4 | 612 | 134 | 735 | 1,481 | -1,119 | 746 | 599 | 1,345 | -405 | 0 | 136 | 136 |
| Outer South East | 4,600 | 3,063 | 7 | 1,500 | 431 | 1,173 | 3,104 | -1,496 | 1,931 | 83 | 2,014 | -1,049 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Outer South West | 7,200 | 4,813 | 11 | 2,882 | 1,883 | 1,137 | 5,902 | -1,298 | 3,846 | 1,104 | 4,950 | 138 | 919 | 33 | 952 |
| Outer West | 4,700 | 3,087 | 7 | 2,686 | 1,016 | 630 | 4,332 | -368 | 2,647 | 584 | 3,231 | 144 | 1,055 | 46 | 1,101 |
| Total | 66,000 | 43,750 | 100 | 35,950 | 19,684 | 5,952 | 61,600 | -4,400 | 40,883 | 3,678 | 44,561 | 811 | 14,766 | 292 | 15,058 |

Modification No. 9

Table 2: Comparison of Housing Allocations against Core Strategy Policy SP7

| Level | Type | No. of sites | Capacity | Core Strategy Target | +/- target | % difference |
|--------------------|-----------|--------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|
| City Centre | Infill | 449 116 | 42,214 11,940 | 10,200 | +2,044 +1,740 | 20 17 |
| Main Urban Area | Infill | 388 378 | 31,173 30,932 | 30,000 | +1,173 +932 | 4 3 |
| Main Urban Area | Extension | 36 30 | 4,383 3,228 | 3,300 | +1,083 -72 | 33 2 |
| Major Settlement | Infill | 87 -85 | 4,023 3,952 | 4,000 | 23 -48 | 4 - 1 |
| Major Settlement | Extension | 23 17 | 7,254 4,950 | 10,300 | -3,046 -5,350 | -30 - 52 |
| Smaller Settlement | Infill | 73 - 72 | 2,506 2,524 | 2,300 | +206 +224 | 9 10 |
| Smaller Settlement | Extension | 32 18 | 3,429 2,204 | 5,200 | -1,771 -2,996 | -34 - 58 |
| Other Rural | Infill | 48 - 17 | 422 382 | 100 | +322 +282 | 322 - 282 |
| Other Rural | Extension | 5 6 | 195 325 | 600 | -405 -46 | -68 - 46 |
| Other | Other | 5 | 2,224 1,163 | 0 | -2,224 +1,163 | -0 |

Modification No. 11

Table 3: Greenfield / Brownfield split across HMCA

| HMCA | Greenfield capacity | Brownfield capacity | % greenfield | % brownfield |
|------------------|---|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Aireborough | 1,148 651 | 866 | 57 43 | 43 57 |
| City Centre | 195 | 11,714 11,443 | 2 | 98 |
| East Leeds | 8,006 8,006 | 1,680 | 83 | 17 |
| Inner Area | 1,451 1,366 | 11,591 11,546 | 11 | 89 |
| North Leeds | 2,193 1,362 | 3,765 3,775 | 37 27 | 63 73 |
| Outer North East | 4,524 3,691 | 476 456 | 90 89 | 10 11 |
| Outer North West | 1,266 1,226 | 489 481 | 72 | 28 |
| Outer South | 2,046 1,183 | 388 321 | 84 79 | 16 21 |
| Outer South East | 3,476 2,003 | 902 1,101 | 79 65 | 21 35 |
| Outer South West | 4,918 3,980 | 2,051 1,939 | 71 67 | 29 33 |
| Outer West | 2,054 1,822 | 2,618 2,504 | 44 42 | 56 58 |
| Total | 31,277 25,488 | 36,540 36,112 | 46 41 | 54 59 |

Modification No. 17

Table 4: The distribution of safeguarded land designations across Leeds

| HMCA | Total capacity of Safeguarded Land sites | % of HMCA target as Safeguarded Land | % of 6,600 total Safeguarded Land target |
|------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|
| Aireborough | 360 0 | 16 | 5 |
| City Centre | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| East Leeds | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Inner Area | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| North Leeds | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Outer North East | 4,350 1,156 | 27 | 21 |
| Outer North West | 540 260 | 27 | 8 |
| Outer South | 220 115 | 8 | 3 |
| Outer South East | 1,616 1,450 | 35 | 24 |
| Outer South West | 1,753 1,220 | 24 | 27 |
| Outer West | 945 465 | 19 | 14 |
| Total | 6,763 4,666 | - | - |